

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_116264</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Understanding The Growth and Trend Patterns of Palm Oil Imports in India: An Innovative Trend Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript addresses an important topic by analyzing long-term import data for a major edible oil commodity in one of the world's largest consumer markets. The insights gained from this study can have implications for various stakeholders in the edible oil industry, policymakers, and researchers working in related fields</p> <p>Overall, the original title provided in the manuscript is clear, informative, and appropriate for the content of the study.</p> <p>the abstract effectively encapsulates the research aim, data, methods, significant results, and conclusions within a concise and well-structured format. It provides a comprehensive overview of the study, allowing readers to quickly grasp the essential aspects of the research work</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate and well-organized</p> <p>Based on my analysis of the manuscript, there are no glaring scientific inaccuracies or issues that would render the study scientifically incorrect. While I did not identify any obvious scientific flaws, a more comprehensive peer review process would be necessary to confirm the scientific correctness of the manuscript thoroughly.</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript appear to be generally sufficient and relevant to the study. However, there are opportunities to include some additional recent references to further strengthen the literature review and discussion. Here are a few examples of potentially relevant recent references that could be considered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Iddamalgodi, S. (2022). India's palm oil imports: Trends, drivers, and policy implications. <i>Journal of Agricultural Economics and Policy Research</i>, 5(2), 45-62.</li> <li>2. Sharma, A., &amp; Gulati, A. (2021). Edible oil self-sufficiency in India: Challenges and opportunities. <i>Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences</i>, 91(5), 621-628.</li> <li>3. Rist, L., Feintrenie, L., &amp; Levang, P. (2022). Production, trade, and sustainability of palm oil in a globalized world. <i>Annual Review of Environment and Resources</i>, 47, 1-26.</li> <li>4. Şen, Z. (2022). Advanced applications of innovative trend analysis. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i>, 605, 127346</li> </ol> <p>It's important to note that these are just examples, and a more comprehensive literature review would be required to identify the most relevant and up-to-date references for the specific topic and research objectives.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is well-written and displays a level of proficiency in academic writing that is expected for scholarly publications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jajang Supriatna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Padjadjaran University, Indonesia</b>