

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_116375
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Values in Bhutanese Youth: An Empirical Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES YES</p> <p>Reorganization of the content may be done to give a logical sequence. It would be nice if the content is revised making the message more short and clear. Subtitle and table numbers are lacking continuity.</p> <p>Instead of review of literature, need and significance or scope of the study may be better. Instead of methodology, METHOD may be used and the content in this area may be modified specifying the research method, instrument for data collection, variables under study and the population. It will be more enlightening if operational definition of key terms were provided. Tables are to be made more precise. Nonparametric tests are more relevant considering the nature of variables. Hypotheses may be stated clearly</p> <p>More references may be cited especially when discussing results</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>May be improved Language editing may be done to make the content more powerful</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Though the content require revision, the theme of the article is relevant in the current scenario. With the modifications I would recommend this article</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rincymol Mathew
Department, University & Country	School of Behavioural Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, India