

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_115793
Title of the Manuscript:	Guillain-Barre Syndrome in Pregnancy: Case Series and Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community because GBS is a dangerous disease and it is potentially curable if the treatment is opportune.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Only 11 references (38 total) corresponding to the last five years. I suggest increases the references and update them.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. But I think the author should review the style and the orthographic syntax.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author should enlarge in details the used method.</li> <li>- The author should specify in details physical exam results of the sample, the electrophysiological parameters (latency, amplitude, nerve conduction velocity, area decay, amplitude decay, dispersion, F wave response) and cerebrospinal fluid study.</li> <li>- It is very rare that in the studied patients the main form of GBS observed was not AIDP. What do you think it is due to? You should clarify an explain in the discussion.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bárbara Aymeé Hernández Hernández</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cuban Neuroscience Center, Cuba</b>