

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_116077
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, PROXIMATE AND in vitro ANTI-HYPERGLYCEMIC ACTIVITIES OF Napoleona vogelii Hook & Planch STEM BARK AND Cassia occidentalis L. ROOT EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Here's a comparison between two plants of different solvents showing anti-diabetic activity .Here, this study showed that both plants are having anti-diabetic activity .</p> <p>COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, PROXIMATE ANTI-HYPERGLYCEMIC ACTIVITIES OF <i>Napoleona vogelii</i> Hook & Planch STEM BARK AND <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> L. ROOT EXTRACTS</p> <p>Yes ,it's Good giving proper information.</p> <p>Structure is ok, sections are given numbering follow the edited copy .</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>ok</p> <p>For images and tables follow proper numbering & indicate image name below the image & tables name above the table.</p> <p>Introduction to both plants parts ,other activities done previously should be included in comprehensive manner. Reference numbers write upon as superscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title is ok, IS IT INVITRO OR IN VIVO.</p> <p>Grammar and title should be gone through , Proper spacing after plant name give space.</p> <p>References related to both plants and recent studies should be included, Diabetes research plants are supposed to be added .</p> <p>While writing any article keep in mind the images are labelled with sections Example: Fig: 1.1 & 1.2.</p> <p>Space is missing everywhere after plant names & reference should be written as superscript.</p> <p>If in-vitro ,you have not used animals but in Discussion its mentioned as rats results. If so, your rats results are there then change the title.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heena Parveen
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy College, India