

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_116077
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, PROXIMATE AND in vitro ANTI-HYPERGLYCEMIC ACTIVITIES OF Napoleonavogelii Hook & Planch STEM BARK AND Cassia occidentalis L. ROOT EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yeah, the above work is important for scientific community as it involves the treatment for diabetes</p> <p>Yes, Title is suitable</p> <p>No, abstract of the article lacks in comprehensiveness, use of metronidazole is No way related and mechanism of activity justified is not satisfactory. Yes, subsections are appropriate but structure and grammar would have been improved.</p> <p>Effort has been made to prove that both drugs have anti-diabetic but conclusion part is very vague.</p> <p>References are sufficient and are recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Quality of the English would have been better. Space setting and structure should be improvised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the abstract standard drug- metronidazole is mentioned, In conclusion because of all secondary metabolite it shows Anti-diabetic activity they claim, which is Not correct.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Basavaraj S Hunasagi
Department, University & Country	BLDEA SSM College of Pharmacy and Research Center, India