

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116102
Title of the Manuscript:	Bridging the Gap: Insights from Fashion Professionals on Enhancing Fashion Education.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is quite important to enhance knowledge and skills needed in the industry for economic growth through industrialization. IT helps students to keep with the current industrial demands and update their competences</p> <p>The Title is suited to the contents of this paper.</p> <p>The abstract is quite informative capturing the focus, methods used, the findings and recommendations of the study. T</p> <p>The sub sections given in the paper are relevant and quite appropriate and logically flowing.</p> <p>This paper s scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are enough and current but however there are sections where the researchers need to add references as indicated in the manuscripts</p> <p>This paper add value to knowledge and skill that may be utilized to boost the fashion industry and economic growth thereby improving societies' way of living globally.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes the language is quite suitable for scholarly communications is simple English was used in this paper which makes every reader understand the contents and messages being send out to people.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The findings of the study are quite helpful and relevant to the development of individuals and the industry at large.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dandira Tarirai
Department, University & Country	Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe