

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_115737
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-diabetic potentials of cookies from wheat, sweet potato and African yam beans (<i>Sphenostylis stenocarpa</i>) composite flour blends
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes, its suitable,</p> <p>It needs improvement</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References should be updated in the introduction. i.e. you can add "Suleman, D., Bashir, S., Hassan Shah, F. U., Ikram, A., Zia Shahid, M., Tufail, T., ... & Hassan Mohamed, M. (2023). Nutritional and functional properties of cookies enriched with defatted peanut cake flour. <i>Cogent Food & Agriculture</i>, 9(1), 2238408."</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English language must be verified by a native English speaker or to use specialized proofreading services.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The statistical analysis carried out is very poor and should be revised.</p> <p>2. The abstract needs to be improved.</p> <p>3. Add conclusive line at the end of abstract</p> <p>4. Conclusion needs some serious attention. Revise it</p> <p>5. Objective of the study is missing. Plz add at the end of the introduction</p> <p>6. Scope of study or gap of study should be added.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Ikram
Department, University & Country	University Institute of Food Science and Technology, The University of Lahore, Pakistan