

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_109363
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Variable Thermal Conductivity on Mhd Fluid Flow on Rotating Vertical Cone in the Presence of Darcy Forchheimer, Soret And Dufour Effects Through A Porous Medium
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript will be important for the scientific community if it tries to emphasize the novel contribution of the research.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable for the report.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Instead of saying "Materials and Methods", it is better to use "Mathematical Formulations"</p> <p>5. The manuscript is written scientifically.</p> <p>6. Since the references used in this study are not recent, I also recommend the following articles to be reviewed and included in the revised manuscript: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/2021/6610099/ https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jam/2020/1890972/ https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844020306216</p> <p>The introduction part should be revised to outline the nobility of the present study. The result section can be improved by including more discussions on the physical realities of the theoretical outcomes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Certain language, word spacing problems and typo errors should be corrected before publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend this article for publication if the above comments are incorporated in the revised manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tadesse Walelign
Department, University & Country	Debre Tabor University, Ethiopia