

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_115816
Title of the Manuscript:	INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES IN HISTORICAL COST, REPLACEMENT COST AND ORGANISATION PERFORMANCE USING HR
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the main results, and major conclusions. It should be between 150 and 250 words. The title is not adequate as well. • In depth analysis and a more critical discussion of literature review is recommended. It can be more elaborated. The researcher's own analysis should be added to reflect his/her own contribution. It is recommended to link smoothly through the analysis between the different parts. • The research paper can be more organized and the following order can be recommended: Title; abstract; keywords; introduction; material studied, area descriptions, methods and/or techniques; results; discussion; conclusion; acknowledgements; references. • The research design, questions, hypotheses and methods are not relatively clearly stated. The arguments and discussion of findings can be more analyzed. • The practical and social applications/recommendations of the study should be added. • The limitation of the study should be more elaborated. • Each reference cited in the text must appear in the reference list, and each entry in the reference list must be cited in the text. More updated references should be added as well. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Adequate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randa El Bedawy
Department, University & Country	The American University in Cairo, Egypt