

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116152
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity analysis in medium duration pigeonpea [<i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L) Millsp.] germplasm for different agronomic traits and biotic factors
Type of the Article	Experimental article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The study of genetic diversity with the search for adaptive genotypes and the improvement of existing ones is the main task of the 21st century. Climate change and population growth require a constant increase in the yield of agricultural crops. Especially plants with a high protein content, including <i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L) Millsp.</p> <p>2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I would like to note the high level of this scientific article. All the results obtained by the authors are presented in a logical sequence and contain significant importance for the scientific community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dmytro Chuiko
Department, University & Country	State Biotechnological University, Ukraine