

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116056
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Liquid Biofertilizer and Variable Source of Nutrients on Growth and Yield of Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) in Western U.P.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I commend the thorough exploration of the utilization of biofertilizers, particularly in relation to mustard crop cultivation in the Asian region. The topic is indeed of significant importance in contemporary agricultural practices. However, as I went through the manuscript, I noticed that there is room for improvement regarding the precision and currency of references cited.</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. I would suggest refining the abstract to include more detailed expressions regarding the highest performance achieved in various treatments. Adding this precision could enhance the clarity and impact of the study, providing readers with a clearer understanding of the results and their implications.</p> <p>4. I suggest a refinement in format for the Results and Discussion section. Specifically, I propose the inclusion of subheadings for individual parameters within these sections.</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. I believe there is an opportunity to enhance the introduction by providing more detailed information, particularly with the inclusion of recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is need to Improve sentence structure and paying closer attention to spellings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umair Ali
Department, University & Country	University of Punjab, Pakistan