

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_115887
Title of the Manuscript:	GIS-BASED ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS MODELLING AND MAPPING OF EROSION VULNERABILITY IN THE COASTAL AREAS OF RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	CASE STUDY

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript's contribution to addressing a critical issue, identifying knowledge gaps, offering a methodological framework, and providing practical implications makes it important and relevant for the scientific community involved in coastal management, environmental science, and geographic information systems.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>May be changed.</p> <p>The manuscript addresses an important research problem and follows a systematic approach.</p> <p>Validation of AHP model is missing in this study.</p> <p>May be increased.</p> <p>The recommendation to advocate for a systematic approach to coastal vulnerability zoning is valid and practical. However, more details are needed on how this approach could be implemented in practice, including stakeholder engagement, policy implications, and potential challenges.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the language quality of the article meets the standards for scholarly communication, but minor revisions could enhance clarity and readability for a wider audience.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comprehensive Literature review can improve the quality of this work.</p> <p>Problem of coastal erosion in the study region should be discussed briefly.</p> <p>The matter and content of Methodology and Result/Discussion may be altered.</p> <p>The interpretation of the results should be based on sound scientific principles and supported by the data presented. The manuscript should discuss the implications of the findings in relation to existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and practical implications for coastal erosion management.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suprakash Pan
Department, University & Country	Haringhata Mahavidyalaya, University of Kalyani, India