

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Geographical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGR_115887</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GIS-BASED ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS MODELLING AND MAPPING OF EROSION VULNERABILITY IN THE COASTAL AREAS OF RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article is novel; however, it lists some actions that the author must perform:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Content organization is suggested: Review the structure of the document in a logical and coherent manner. It is useful to use headings and subheadings to clearly divide content into sections and subsections.</li> <li>Text Review: Avoid unnecessary jargon and explain concepts in a way that makes them accessible to a wide audience within your field.</li> <li>Image resolution: The author should consider using graphic design software to improve the visual presentation of the document. This may include using graphs, charts, and other visual elements to help convey information effectively.</li> </ul> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The summary presents the usefulness and importance of the study, and also presents the most relevant methods and results.</p> <p>4. The organization of article is not clear mainly due to the lack of subtitles. In the methodology when describing the area of study, it is not convenient to use references, if necessary add them consider them in the introduction but in the methodology only focus on the methods.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically appropriate for publication, as long as the points mentioned are corrected.</p> <p>6. It is suggested to add references that can strengthen the support and contextualization of the scientific work. A broader search should be conducted in scientific databases relevant to your field, including recent and classic studies that support your claims.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of English is adequate, just avoid excessive use of prepositions</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Magdalena Garcia Martinez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Polytechnic Institute, Mexico</b>