

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_115966
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of a Scalable Content-Based Publish-Subscribe Model for Efficient Information Dissemination in Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Type of the Article	General Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Contribution is important for its innovative approach to information dissemination in healthcare and its potential to advance technology in public health systems. It offers scalability, relevance to public health, and a foundation for future research in healthcare information systems.</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>It succinctly outlines the problem statement, the aim of the research, and the methodology used. However, it could benefit from providing more specific details on the key findings and potential implications of the study to enhance the overall clarity and impact of the research.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript's structure is appropriate and contributes to the readability and coherence of the research findings.</p> <p>The integration of technological advancements, scalability considerations, and recommendations for future work align with current trends in healthcare information systems, enhancing the scientific rigor of the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enhance clarity and detail in methodology and implementation sections. 2. Include validation and evaluation of the proposed model for empirical support. 3. Discuss potential limitations in implementation and practical challenges. 4. Expand on future research directions for innovative applications and collaborations. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include validation and evaluation of the proposed model for empirical support. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhavesh Vyas
Department, University & Country	Normi Research Foundation, India