

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_116012
Title of the Manuscript:	Household income from growing medicinal plants in Hoa Binh province: A case study of Celastrus hindsii
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It's important to know the income earning of household level by growing plants.</p> <p>To change the title as "Improving household income by growing medicinal plants in Hoa Binh province: A case study of Celastrus hindsii"</p> <p>Abstract should be concret in one papragrph together without subtitle.</p> <p>The structure of manuscript is good but it should be strong link with each others.</p> <p>It's scientifically correct. However, The figure 5 does not give clear message. The author used "consumption" is giving something misunderstanding. It should be revise the word and writing style especially for giving well understanding to readers.</p> <p>The references are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Writing style of scientific paper should be upgraded. The author should explain more with the linking subtitles and conclusion too.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theingi Myint
Department, University & Country	Yezin Agricultural University, Myanmar