

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116239
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Workforce Dynamics and Transformation: assessing the interplay and impact of AI, Automation, and Employment Policies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. yes, manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes this is suitable</p> <p>3. Reveiw again is it requirement of journal to write abstract in italic font. And also no need to mention in abstract % words use qualitative words.</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are not proper appropriate some numbering and space are not mention and correct.</p> <p>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References and citation are not proper sufficient even that not properly follow the guidelines about APA . LOOK LIKE COPY PASTE mostly not correct as APA STYLE BUT CITATION ARE NEW .</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to improvement more academic and simply for the readers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are need to be alignment finding and conclusion additionally need to be explain clearly for the readers.in the finding not properly show result with positive or negative with significance (just show significance) is it negative or positive need to be discuss in finding discussion .</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahid Mehmood
Department, University & Country	School of Business Management, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia