

Analysis of Factors Influencing Fresh Fish Consumer Satisfaction at Supermarket X, Bandung Regency

ABSTRACT

This study is entitled Analysis of Factors Influencing Fresh Fish Consumer Satisfaction at Supermarket X, Bandung Regency. The purpose of this research is to find out what factors influence consumer satisfaction with fresh fish at Supermarket X in Bandung Regency. Supermarket X is one of the largest supermarkets in Bandung Regency, which provides basic needs products such as food ingredients for cooking including the availability of fresh fish. Data was obtained through a questionnaire distributed to 104 Supermarket X customers for 1 month, from mid-April to May. The type of research used is descriptive research using a quantitative approach. To find out the value of each attribute using IPA analysis (*Importance Performance Analysis*), The results of this research show, in calculations using IPA (Importance Performance Analysis) the consumer satisfaction factor is divided into two, namely satisfied and less satisfied. The attributes of satisfaction are, namely, performance, reliability, durability, conformance, price affordability, price compatibility with quality, price compatibility with benefits, tangible services, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and certainty, empathy, additional costs incurred and strategic location. While the unsatisfied attributes, namely, the affordability of prices and the superior quality of fresh fish which are still inferior to other supermarkets.

Keywords: Fresh Fish; Importance Performance Analysis; Consumer Satisfaction; Supermarket.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is a region with very large waters. Nearly three-fourths of Indonesia's territory is water. The following questions prove that Indonesia is a country with high aquatic natural resource potential. Fisheries and maritime affairs are a sector that has an important role in national economic development, especially in providing employment, protein food, and foreign exchange for the country. Indonesia itself had a low level of fish consumption, but over time the level of fish consumption in Indonesia has increased. Based on data from the Ministry of Fisheries and Fisheries (KKP), fish consumption in Indonesia in 2022 is 56.48 kilograms (kg) per capita. This number has increased by 2.39% compared to 2021 which obtained a figure of 55.16 kg/capita. Consumption of fish is very important, especially when viewed from the nutritional value contained in fish. According to Ciptanto (2010), the nutritional value contained in fish is very important because it has a higher digestibility value and biological value than the meat of other animals. Fish contains protein in which it contains perfect essential amino acids. Fish meat consists of 15-24% protein, 1-3% glycogen/carbohydrates, 1-22% fat. 66-84% water and 0.8-2% other organic matter. Consumption of animal protein other than chicken, such as fish is an alternative to fulfill protein nutritional adequacy at prices that are relatively affordable by the community (Atikah et al, 2012). Even though fish consumption figures have improved and increased from year

to year, the value of fish consumption is still much lower than the value of fish supply. According to the research results of Suryawati et al. (2016), considerations in consuming fish that consumers like and pay attention to are nutritional content, good taste, and ease of obtaining.

West Java is one area that has a low level of fish consumption. According to the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries (KKP) through the Bandung Fish Quarantine and Quality Control Agency (BKIPM), in 2021 the per capita fish consumption rate in West Java is only 32 kilograms to 34 kilograms. This figure is still very low and must be increased, still far from the national per capita target of 53 kilograms a year. Several factors have contributed to the low per capita consumption rate in West Java, namely public awareness of the importance of fish as a food ingredient containing high quality protein and the low purchasing power of fish. Currently, the government itself, especially in Bandung Regency, is actively carrying out movements to increase fish consumption in the community, such as echoing the Fish-Eating Community Movement (Gemarikan), Fish-Eating Safari, and facilitating the Development of the Modern Fish Market (PIM). Even so, fish was the highest consumption of animal food in Bandung Regency in 2020 as much as 38.14 grams/capita per day, but this amount is still far from the national target.

Very low fish consumption is closely related to fish purchasing power which is still low, and low fish purchasing power is inseparable from the facilities and infrastructure of fish sales, the difficulty of fish availability, the very expensive price of fish, and public awareness about the importance of consuming fish full of nutritional needs for the body. One of the infrastructure facilities in selling fish is the supermarket or today we often call it a supermarket. in selling fish, namely supermarkets or today we often call supermarkets. According to the General of Domestic Trade, a supermarket is a modern market where large-scale retail goods are sold and the service is supermarket (self-service). Currently, business competition is very tight, causing all those who are doing business to perform and maintain optimal performance in order to survive, where more and more products are needed and desired by consumers, so that every company needs to place the orientation of customer satisfaction as the main goal. So that consumer assessment of performance is very important for increasing and improving performance. According to Schannaans, creating customer satisfaction can provide several benefits, including harmonious company and consumer relations, providing a good basis for repeat purchases and creating customer loyalty which forms word of mouth recommendations that benefit the company (Suntoyo, 2012). From the producer's point of view, repurchasing is very profitable for the company because it can increase its profit. Word of mouth repurchasing can also increase the level of food consumption, especially in the consumption of fishery products such as fresh fish. Service quality is one of the consumer considerations in buying products, especially in buying fishery products. So in this study research was conducted by identifying what factors influence fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X Bandung Regency. Service quality is one of the consumer considerations in buying products, especially in buying fishery products. So in this study research was conducted by identifying what factors influence fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X Bandung Regency. Service quality is one of the consumer considerations in buying products, especially in buying fishery products. So in this study research was conducted by identifying what factors influence fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X Bandung Regency.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research uses quantitative descriptive which aims to analyze fresh fish consumer satisfaction on service quality using the Importance Performance Analysis (IPA) method. The measurement scale used in this study is the Likert Scale, according to Sugiono (2018) the Likert scale can be used to measure opinions, attitudes, and consumer perceptions of a problem phenomenon. The phenomena in this study have been determined

by the researchers specifically, so that they are included in the ordinal scale using 5 points of assessment, with the hope of producing an accurate measurement. According to Irawan (2002), there are 5 variables in satisfying consumers, namely product quality, price, service quality, emotion, as well as cost and ease of obtaining these products.

The sample is part of the object of the population studied. The sample in this study uses non-probability sampling where each member of the population does not have the same opportunity to be included in the sample (Sugiyono, 2018). Sampling uses accidental sampling, which means one that matches sampling that accidentally or coincidentally meets (Kurniawan, 2014). Determination of the number of respondents in this study was based on the time of the study, namely a total of 104 respondents who were carried out for one month from the second week of April to the second week of May. Sampling was carried out by distributing Google forms to fresh fish consumers at Supermarket X using a Likert scale with a checklist form.

Importance Performance Analysis or IPA was first introduced by Martial and James in 1997 (purnama, 2006). This method is used to determine policies and strategies based on the level of importance and satisfaction of an attribute (16). IPA can also be used to identify what indicators are important and need to be owned by a business to achieve customer satisfaction (Lubis et al, 2020). The IPA method is needed to identify services that need to be improved to maintain consumer satisfaction easily understood (Safira et al, 2022) (Deharja et al, 2017).

Assessment uses a Likert scale with a score of 1 to 5 which is used to measure the level of performance and expectations as shown in the table. 1 following:

Table 1. Performance Level Measurement Scores and Expectation Levels

Score	Performance Level	Expectation Level
1	Very Not Good	Very unimportant
2	Not good	Not important
3	Pretty good	Quite important
4	Good	Important
5	Very good	Very important

From the score results, the scale range is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Number of scales} = \frac{(X_{ib} - X_{ix})}{\text{number of measurement scales}}$$

Where :

X_{ib} = the largest possible score obtained by assuming that all respondents answer is very important.

X_{ik} = the largest possible score obtained by assuming that all respondents give unimportant answers

Based on the measurement score of the level of performance and expectations with a score of 1 to 5, to represent the overall assessment, a scale range is needed with the following formula based on Simamora (2002):

$$\text{Scala Range} = \frac{m - n}{b} = \frac{5 - 1}{5} = 0,8$$

Where :

m = maximum number of scale points in the questionnaire

n = Minimum number of scale points in the questionnaire

b = Number of scale points in the questionnaire

After that it will produce a calculation regarding the level of conformity. Measurement of the level of conformity or TKI uses the following formula:

$$Tki = \frac{Xi}{Yi} \times 100\%$$

Where:

Tki = Level respondent suitability
Xi = Performance appraisal score
Yi = Interest/expectation assessment score

Furthermore, the total score of performance level assessment and expectations is calculated by the following formula:

$$Xi = \frac{\sum Xi}{n} \quad Yi = \frac{\sum Yi}{n}$$

Where:

Xi = The average score of the performance level of each instrument i
Yi = The average score of the expectation level of each instrument i
n = respondents

The Natural Sciences method is usually presented in the form of a Cartesian quadrant with four categories or quadrants, while the description of the quadrants is as follows (Siyamto, 2017) (Firdaus, 2020).

1. Top priority, has attributes that are considered important and expected by consumers, but its performance is considered not to meet consumer expectations so the company needs to improve to improve its performance
2. Maintain achievement, have attributes that are considered important and expected by consumers. This quadrant has high performance and expectations, so companies need to maintain the performance of these attributes.
3. Low priority, has attributes that are considered to have a low level of perception and are not too important or not highly anticipated by consumers, so that the company does not need to prioritize and pay more attention to these attributes.
4. Excessive, has attributes that are not too important and not really expected by the company. So that the company is better off paying attention to other attributes that have more priority.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characteristics of Respondents

Based on sex data tabulated in graphical form in fig 1. below. Respondents in this research were dominated by female respondents. There were 95 female respondents, while 9 male respondents. This means that from the following data female respondents amounted to 91% while the rest were male, female respondents dominated even almost all respondents were female.




Fig 1. Characteristics of Consumers Based on Gender

Based on the age range tabulated in graphical form in fig 2. below. This study divides the age range of respondents into four classes, namely 17-25 years, 26-30 years, 31-40 years, and > 40 years. The age range of 31-40 years was the majority in this study, namely 41 respondents, aged 26-30 years had the same number as those aged > 40 years, namely 26 respondents, and the least were at the age of 17-25 years, totaling 11 respondents.




Fig 2. Consumer Characteristics Based on Age

Based on the job data tabulated in graphical form in fig 3. below. Respondents' occupations were also recorded to see the diversity of characteristics of fresh fish consumers of Supermarket X. The occupations in this study were divided into seven categories, namely students, civil servants, private employees, labourers, self-employed, housewives and household assistants. The highest number of jobs in this study were private employees totaling 35 respondents, while the least were household assistants totaling 3 respondents.




Fig 3. Characteristics of Consumers Based on Occupation

Based on the total income data tabulated in graphical form in fig 4. below. This study also took data on the monthly income of fresh fish consumers at Supermarket X. The monthly income in this study was divided into four categories, namely Rp. 0 (no income) – Rp. 1,000,000, Rp. 1,000,000 – Rp. 2,000,000, IDR 2,0000,000 – IDR 4,000,000, and > 4,000,000. Respondents who choose not to have an income are usually female students or housewives. The majority of respondents income > Rp. 4,000,000 totaling 74 respondents. Respondents with an income of Rp. 1,000,000 – Rp. 2,000,000 is simply not in this study.




Fig 4. Characteristics of Consumers Based on Income

After analyzing the characteristics of fresh fish consumers at Supermarket X, Bandung Regency, it can be seen that market segmentation based on demographics owned

by Fresh Fish Supermarket X is consumers who are female, consumers aged 31-40 years, consumers who have jobs as private employees, and consumers with income > Rp. 4,000,000.

3.2 Level of Consumer Satisfaction

3.2.1 Validity and Reliability Test

Based on the calculation of the results of the two tests, namely the validity test and reliability test on fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X Bandung Regency, it shows that all attributes used is valid and can be used to measure fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X. The results of the validity test can be said to be valid because the results at the level of expectation and level of performance have a value above the value of r table (Product Moment Correlation) which is equal to 0.195 with a significance degree of 5%.

The value of the reliability test at the expectation level and performance level is reliable, in the reliability test the expectation level is 0.904 while the performance level reliability test is 0.913. What are the two reliability values in the range of 0.80 – 1.00 which shows that the two reliability test values are very reliable.

3.2.2 Importance Performance Analysis

To measure the level of performance and the level of expectations from the results of the questionnaire that is using the method *Importance Performance Analysis* (IPA) from data from filling out questionnaires by 104 respondents. The results are entered into the Cartesian diagram to determine where the question instruments in the questionnaire fall into quadrant I (top priority), quadrant II (maintain achievement), quadrant III (low priority), and quadrant IV (excessive).




Fig 5. Cartesian Diagram of Performance Levels and Expectations of 5 Instruments

Remarks:

1 = Taste attribute

10 = Service facility attribute

2 = View attribute	11 = Attribute of politeness
3 = Attribute comparison of excellence	12 = responsiveness attribute
4 = Endurance attribute	13 = guarantee attribute
5 = Attribute according to SNI	14 = Operational hours attribute (empathy)
6 = Affordability attribute	15 = Attributes of influence of large supermarkets
7 = price comparison attribute	16 = Pride attribute
8 = price-quality attribute	17 = additional cost attribute
9 = Attribute of suitability of price with taste	18 = Strategic location attribute

From the results of processing the data from the questionnaire results in the Cartesian diagram above, it can be seen:

1. Quadrant I (Top Priority)

In quadrant I are the instruments that are considered important by fresh fish consumers, where the level of consumer expectations is high compared to the expectations of other instruments but the performance of employees or companies is considered by consumers to be lacking or not as desired compared to other performance instruments. Therefore, the attributes in quadrant 1 need to be improved and their performance improved. These attributes are:

Attribute 3 (Comparison of product advantages), Comparison of product advantages here compared to the advantages of supermarket products which are still in the vicinity of supermarket X. Consumers judge that fresh fish products in Supermarket X are already in the good category, but when compared to other supermarkets there are still those that are superior of fresh fish products at Supermarket X.

Attribute 6 (Affordable Prices), consumers still disagree if the price of fresh fish provided by Supermarket X is affordable, the selling price of fresh fish is still considered expensive. The price of fish sold is proportional to the handling and treatment of these fish. Supermarket X treats fish as best as possible to maintain its quality, such as placing fish using ice and neatly arranged without the fish touching each other. So that this treatment provides an additional cost to the selling price of fresh fish.

2. Quadrant II (Maintain Performance)

Attribute 1 (Performance), performance here is related to the taste or aroma of fresh fish sold by Supermarket X. Consumers assess if the taste or aroma possessed by Supermarket X's fresh fish is good and like fish in general, there is no taste a taste that makes fish strange or a fishy smell that is not characteristic of fish in general.

Attribute 2 (Reliability), reliability here is related to the appearance of fresh fish in Supermarket X which attracts attention, is clean and neatly arranged. Consumers assess that the arrangement and condition of fresh fish at Supermarket X is neat, clean, and the condition of the fish is good, by serving the fish stored on ice so that the fish does not spoil easily and stays fresh.

Attribute 5 (Durability), durability here is the durability of fresh fish at Supermarket X. From the value obtained that the durability of fresh fish at Supermarket X is quite good according to the respondents, if touched the fish is not powdered and damaged, it can be seen from the appearance of the fish that it is not pale and no bad smell.

Attribute 5 (Conformance), Conformance here is related to the assumption that fresh fish in Supermarket X is in accordance with the value of SNI (Indonesian National Standard) for fresh fish in Indonesia. From the value given by the respondent, which is already good, the respondent suspects that the fresh fish sold by Supermarket X is in accordance with the applicable SNI in Indonesia.

Attribute 7 (price competitiveness), price competitiveness here is related to competition in the price of fresh fish at other supermarkets around Supermarket X. From the value obtained Supermarket X is superior with regard to price compared to supermarkets that are around it, although like the results of the respondents above on the attribute 6 if the price of fresh fish sold by Supermarket X is not yet affordable, but when compared to other supermarkets the price of fresh fish at Supermarket X is cheaper.

Attribute 8 (Conformity with quality), Conformity with quality here, namely the price comparison with the quality of fresh fish sold. Fresh fish found in Supermarket X is considered appropriate for the price.

Attribute 9 (suitability of benefits), the suitability of benefits here is the price comparison of fresh fish with the benefits of fresh fish itself. Judging from the value obtained, the benefits of fresh fish in Supermarket X are in accordance with the price. The benefits here are related to the taste of fresh fish.

Attribute 10 (tangible), tangible here relates to the facilities provided which are used in the service of fresh fish. Consumers judge if the existing facilities are good beyond the expectations of the consumers themselves. The facilities provided here are free fish cleaning, fish wrapping neatly so that the fish doesn't smell fishy.

Attribute 14 (Empathy), empathy here is related to the appropriate supermarket operating hours. Supermarket operational hours are considered to be in accordance with what consumers want. Supermarket X itself opens a supermarket at 09.00 WIB – 21.00 WIB, which is an hour that matches the schedule of fresh fish consumers.

Attribute 17 (additional costs), additional costs here are costs incurred other than buying fresh fish, one of which is the costs incurred for public transportation or vehicle gasoline to buy fresh fish to Supermarket X. Consumers assess that the costs incurred are good enough because the location of Supermarket X which is on the edge of a major highway so you don't need to use a lot of public transportation.

Attribute 18 (Strategic location), strategic location is one of the important reasons for shopping, most people choose something that is more practical, including looking for shopping places that have affordable locations. Supermarket X is located close to densely populated settlements, making it easier for local residents to find places to shop, especially for fresh fish.

3. Quadrant III (Low Priority),

Quadrant III shows if the attributes in this quadrant have a low level of importance followed by a low level of performance, or have performance values and expectations/interests that are both below the average. These attributes are:

Attribute 12 (Responsiveness), Responsiveness here is the service of fresh fish done quickly and responsively. although it is considered to have low performance and expectations/interests, the respondents consider that the responsiveness of the services provided in buying fresh fish at Supermarket X is good enough. Consumers judge that the waiters do not let consumers wait long, from wrapping to cleaning the fish done quickly, even though there are many customers, they do it quite quickly.

Attribute 15 (Believe because it's a big company), in this attribute the score has a performance value that is greater than the value of interest/expectations, this proves that some respondents agree even though it is in quadrant III which is a lower priority than the other attributes.

Attribute 16 (proud to be admired), proud to be admired here means feeling proud to be admired by other people for buying fresh fish from a supermarket, namely Supermarket X. Consumers perceive this attribute as having low importance even far from the main interest in self-satisfaction.

4. Quadrant IV (Excessive)

Quadrant IV usually contains attributes that have a large performance value and the expected value is mediocre or in the sense that it is very good. So that this attribute is

considered not too important by consumers compared to other attributes, but has a very good performance by supermarkets. These attributes are:

Attribute 11 (Reliability), Reliability here is assessing the friendliness of the waiters and does not discriminate between consumers. Consumers judge that the waiters at Supermarket X are friendly enough to fresh fish buyers, and do not discriminate between buyers.

Attribute 13 (Guarantee and Assurance), Guarantee and certainty here, that is, the waiter provides comfortable communication, not angry or lying, thus giving a sense of security, trust to consumers. From the results obtained, the guarantees and certainty provided by the waiter to consumers are good enough. Consumers value the waiter as having a good way of communicating, not being wordy and clear, so as to make consumers comfortable asking questions about fresh fish

4. CONCLUSION

From the results of the Importance Performance Analysis measurement, it can be concluded that the attributes or indicators that influence fresh fish consumer satisfaction at Supermarket X are divided into two, namely attributes or indicators that make consumers satisfied and attributes or indicators that make consumers less satisfied. Attributes or indicators that make consumers satisfied are product quality variables, namely performance, reliability, durability, and conformance; price variables, namely price affordability, price suitability with quality and price suitability with benefits; service quality variables, namely tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and certainty, and empathy; and the cost and convenience variables, namely the additional costs incurred and the strategic location.

REFERENCES

1. R. Aditya Nalendra, B. Givan, and I. Sari. (2020). Jakarta Residents' Perceptions of Public Services Using IPA and CSI Methods. *J. Ecodemica J. Ekon. Management, and Business*, vol. 4, no. 2.
2. A. Sinnun. (2017). Web-Based LMS User Satisfaction Analysis. *J. Inform.*, vol. 4, no. 1.
3. A. Deharja, F. Putri, and LON Ikawangi. (2017). Outpatient Outpatient Outpatient Satisfaction Analysis Using the ISSN Method: 2354-5852. vol. 5, no. 2
4. Aritonang Lerbin R. (2005). Customer satisfaction. Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
5. Ciptanto, Sapto. (2010). Top 10 Freshwater Fish - A Complete Combination of Growing Organically in Water Ponds, Tarpaulin Ponds, Cages and Floating Nets. Yogyakarta : Lily Publishers.
6. E. Safira., N. Nofirza., A. Anwardi., H. Harpito., M. Rizki., and N. Nazaruddin. (2022). Evaluation of Human Factors in Redesigning Library Bookshelves for The Blind Using The Ergonomic Function Deployment (EFD) Method.
7. F. Firdaus. (2020). Application of Importance Performance Analysis (Ipa) and Customer Satisfaction Index (Csi) Methods for Analysis of Service Quality Improvement Based on User Perceptions of Akdp and Akap Bus Transportation Modes at Type B Terminals (Case Study at Caru Terminal. *Develop*, vol. 4, no. 1
8. FS Lubis., AP Rahima., MIH Umam., and M. Rizki. (2020). Analysis of Customer Satisfaction with the Servqual Method and the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) Approach to Freight Forwarding Service Companies in the Pekanbaru City Region. *SITEKIN J. Science, Technology, and Ind.*, vol. 17, no. 1.

9. Indrajaya, D. (2018). Analysis of Service Quality on the Level of Consumer Satisfaction Using the Importance Performance Analysis Method and the Customer Satisfaction Index in UKM Gallery. *Journal of IKRA-ITH Technology*, Vol 2 No 3
10. Kurniawan, A. (2014). *Research Methods for Economics and Business Theory, Concept and Practice of Business Research (Equipped with Data Processing Calculations with IBM SPSS 22.0)*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
11. Nurhayati, Atikah., Maulina, Ine., and Gunawan, Gugun. (2012). *The Assessment Of Consumer Protection To Use Of Formalin In Fish And Chicken Products*. Padjadjaran Library.
12. Nursya'bani Purnama. (2006). *Quality Management, Global Perspective*. First Edition, Ekonisia Faculty of Economics.
13. RK Umam and NP Hariastuti. (2018). Analysis of customer satisfaction using the Customer Satisfaction Index (CSI) and Importance Performance Analysis (IPA) methods. *Monday. Nas. Science and Technology. Terap.*, vol. 6.
14. Sugiyono. (2018). *Quantitative Research Methods*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
15. Suntoyo, D. (2012). *Basic Concepts of Marketing Research and Consumer Behavior*. Yogyakarta: CAPS.
16. Suryawati, SH, S. Saptanto., and MP Hertria. (2016). Analysis of Fish Consumption Preferences in Facing Christmas 2015 and New Year 2016. *Journal of Maritime and Fishery Socio-Economic Policy*, Vol. 6 No. 1
17. Y. Siamto. (2017). Bank Service Quality Using IPA and CSI Methods for Customer Satisfaction. *J. Ilm. Econ. Islamic*, vol. 03, no. 01