

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_115906
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF CERVICAL CANCER, SCREENING AND VACCINATION AMONG FEMALE UNDERGRADUATES IN A PUBLIC TERTIARY INSTITUTION, ENUGU NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>We appreciate this study because it comes from a region with low of screening programe system for cervical cancer, and includes a sample size of 400 individuals.</p> <p>The title could have been enhanced to be more interesting. Sugestion: Knowledge, awaranss, and prevention of cervical cancer among ...</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract already provides a complete representation of the article</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher should carefully generate the conclusion: "high awareness and knowledge of cervical cancer". According to the results, not all of the questions have a high response (>80%) with a correct answer. 2. Table 4: point "Age recommended for cervical cancer screening" :missed the percentage 3. Discussion: You claimed that the participants had high knowledge about cervical cancer just by correcting a superficial question: "Have you heard of cervical cancer?" How convinced are you? 4. Discussion: besides comparing the results with another country, would you give your analysis of the situation and give future suggestions to the readers or other researchers on how to anticipate or improve that condition?. What is your recommendation for enhancing awareness of cervical cancer in your country? <p>References are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are grammatical issues that need to be rechecked again.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Desak Putu Oki Lestari
Department, University & Country	Warmadewa University, Indonesia