

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_116075
Title of the Manuscript:	TRIPHYTHOCHEMISTRY AND STUDY OF ACUTE TOXICITY OF AQUEOUS AND HYDROETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF PAVETTA CORYMBOSA LEAVES (Rubiaceae).
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, but the general observation is that results have to be completed to strengthen the study 2. Title must be rewrite to have a meaning. We suggest authors to write: phytochemistry and evaluation of acute toxicity of aqueous and hydroacetonic extracts of PAVETTA CORYMBOSA LEAVES (Rubiaceae). 3. Informations have also to be completed 4. Section concerning statistical analysis was not found 5. No, there is not enough Informations 6. References are old, we suggest the authors to review for more recent <p>We suggest the authors, to take time for completing information in order to have a digest scientific article</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Must be improve	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The authors may quantify the secondary metabolic for instance, the general qualitative methods used for the study seems suitable for pre study not for a scientific publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiombiano A. M. Emmanuel
Department, University & Country	University Joseph KI-Zerbo, Burkina Faso