

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCR_116093</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Rare Case of Aortic and Cardiac thrombosis revealing a Horton's Disease: Clinical Presentation, Diagnostic challenges and Therapeutic considerations</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, its very much so. Although Hortons disease is a rare condition. Aortic and Cardiac thrombosis association is of some significance and area needs to explored more.</p> <p>Yes, its suitable considering it's a case report.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive. But in key words we can add one more ie Giant Cell Arteritis</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is correct.</p> <p>References though recent could have been few more considering we are in 2024. The latest one is 2019 in the article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Although language is suitable for scholarly communications. Spacing can be improved while changing para. Also, during writing reference no. in the article as its not consistent.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Navdeep S Johar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SAU, Aurobindo College of Dentistry, India</b>