

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116057
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardisation of centrifugation method for virgin coconut oil production and comparison of quality parameters of the recovered oil
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it's is important it provide an information at different degrees of centrifuge how is the extraction of oil is good without even loosing its efficacy or nutrients or it is a great way to avoid heat or microwave kind of oil extraction.</p> <p>Yes I feel this title is suitable .</p> <p>Yesit covers all the information or necessary elements in an understandable language.</p> <p>Yesall the content in manuscript is appropriate but tables and its content are not in an appropriate format if it can be done properly it will improve its quality and understanding.</p> <p>Yes I feel so. It's is demonstrated very well</p> <p>Yes they are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yea it's understandable and suitable for scholarly communication if tables are included to distinguish the reading to avoid any mixing up or looking messy will helps in better understanding.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahseen Maryam
Department, University & Country	University of Greenwich, United kingdom