

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115717
Title of the Manuscript:	Antagonistic Activities of Homeopathic Medicines And Bioagents Against Alternaria Solani Causing Early Blight of Tomato
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ The manuscript is very important for scientific communities and put a milestone in the future research to the area of interest. ✚ The title also good and descriptive and suitable as well. ✚ The abstract seems good but it lacks the method and future research direction is not articulated in clear manner. ✚ The subsection and structure of the manuscript is also good and the introduction needs more reference citation unless it seems good. ✚ The manuscript is scientifically correct and well written. ✚ Here great modification is recommended since most of the references is not recent and the introduction needs more referencing the statements. ✚ The way of writing result and discussion is also good and please add more comparative statement of your result with the previous work. ✚ In conclusion section please put at least one line of future research on the work you did. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is good actually, but you can make more attractive by using different paraphrasing tools and different language quality checker.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Terfo Yilma Mikre
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia