

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JABB_114667
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation on biology of Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner) on chickpea under laboratory condition
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript will be full if control measures are added. It is claimed that a lot of research has been done in this area. A strong justification is needed as to why the author tried to do the same experiment. The decision is up to the chief editor of the Journal.</p> <p>The title is OK</p> <p>Repeated in the introduction</p> <p>Regarding biology it is OK</p> <p>A lot of questions can be raised on the importance of the study when the author claims a junk of resources is there.</p> <p>It is OK</p> <p>grammatical errors</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I advise the chief editor to thoroughly review the manuscript contains numerous elementary grammatical errors. We can check the correctness in terms of relevance, significance, and priority.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Most of the sentences are cut off or unfinished.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hailu Regassa
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia