

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115201
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of NPS Rates and Row Spacing on Production of Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) at high-land of north Shewa zone of Oromia, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This article contributes valuable insights into optimizing faba bean production through improved resource management, soil fertility enhancement, and informed decision-making, ultimately promoting sustainable agriculture practices for the benefit of farmers, ecosystems, and food security. • Appropriate title • The abstract effectively summarizes the objectives, methodology, main findings, and implications of the study, providing readers with a clear overview of the research conducted and its significance. • Yes, results an discussion for harvest index is not mentioned but parameter is mentioned in table • Yes • Very old references are used in manuscript. • Add references from last 5 years 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some revisions are required to improve clarity, grammar, the language quality of the manuscript would be better suited for scholarly communication. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rephrase the sentences to reduce similarity and for better clarity. • Break down long sentences into shorter, clearer ones. Ensure each sentence conveys one main idea. • Choose one citation style (e.g., "et al.") and use it consistently throughout the paper • Choose one term and use it consistently throughout the manuscript eg., Above ground dry biomass yield" or dry biomass yield, P or Phosphorous etc., 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Swetha Sree
Department, University & Country	Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, India