

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_115951</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Streptococcus pneumoniae Colonization and Antibigram of Isolates from Paediatric Population in Enugu, South East Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The study design is appropriate for the research question.</p> <p><b>The sample size is needed to be justified.</b></p> <p><b>The suitable statistical tests / methods need to be appropriate and correctly applied.</b></p> <p>Potential biases and limitations are acknowledged and discussed.</p> <p><b>originality and Contribution:</b></p> <p>The study makes an original contribution to the field.</p> <p>The findings are novel and add to our understanding of the topic.</p> <p>The conclusions are supported by the data and are appropriately cautious.</p> <p><b>Quality of Discussion:</b></p> <p>The discussion provides a thoughtful interpretation of the results.</p> <p>The findings are compared and contrasted with existing literature.</p> <p>The implications of the study are discussed, including any potential applications or future research directions.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The writing is clear, concise, and free of grammatical errors.</p> <p>The use of jargon is minimized, making the article accessible to a broad audience.</p> <p>The article adheres to the journal's formatting and style guidelines.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Based on the strengths and weaknesses identified, I recommend the article for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. S. Thiruvengadarajan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KMCH College of Pharmacy, India</b>