

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_115951
Title of the Manuscript:	Streptococcus pneumoniae Colonization and Antibiogram of Isolates from Paediatric Population in Enugu, South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. Since Streptococcus pneumonia causes serious diseases in children, and nasopharyngeal carriage predisposes individuals to this infection, studying the rate of carriage and antimicrobial susceptibility profile in children is crucial, in order to prevent Streptococcus pneumonia infection in this susceptible group.</p> <p>2. The title sounds good. "Nasopharyngeal" could be added to colonization.</p> <p>3. Yes, but it could be more organized. Instead of "Aims" section, "Background" should be written, and in this section, Aims of the study could be written at the end of this part. Study design, place, and duration could be merged and written under "Methodology" section.</p> <p>4. In introduction section, some parts are extra, which are highlighted in yellow. This part could be more concise. In methodology, the sentence in yellow was unnecessary. In result section, the number of patients in each gender group and the mean ages of participants were not mentioned.</p> <p>5. According to previous data and similar studies, it sounds correct.</p> <p>7. Yes, the references are sufficient and most of them are recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. There are several grammatical errors in the manuscript and it needs native editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farnoosh Nozari
Department, University & Country	Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran