Formulation of Targeted Yield Equations for Bottle Gourd [*Lagenariasiceraria* (Molina) Standl.] in *Inceptisols*of Odisha

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to formulate targeted yield equations for Bottle gourd [Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl.] under a rice-vegetable cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Odisha. The experiment started with the creation of three soil fertility gradient stripes by applying no fertilizer, recommended dose and double the recommended dose of fertilizer in rice (cv. Lalat) during the *kharif* season. Each fertility gradient strip was divided into 24 sub-plots and superimposed with 21 different combinations of nutrients containing N, P, and K; FYM in two plots at 5t and 10t ha^{-1}and one plot was kept as absolute control following which, bottle gourd was grown during the *rabi* season. The highest yield (116.3q ha^{-1}) of bottle gourd was achieved in S-III with an application of 70, 100, and 100 kg N, P_2O_5, and K_2O per hectare, respectively. The nutrient requirement (NR) for producing one quintal of bottle gourd yield was 0.30, 0.20, and 0.30 kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O. The effect of graded doses of fertilizers on nutrient requirement, yield and nutrient uptake of bottle gourd were studied and subsequently, fertilizer prescription equations were derived for targeted yield of bottle gourd. A ready reckoner chart has also been prepared for facilitating farmers to achieve the desired yield target of bottle gourd by applying the required quantity of plant nutrients in the existing soil fertility level. The equations provide a basis for site-specific nutrient management based on desired yield targets under varying soil fertility conditions.

Keywords: Bottle gourd, targeted yield, fertilizer prescription equations, *Inceptisols,* STCR-IPNS

Introduction

Bottle gourd [*Lagenariasiceraria* (Molina) Standl.] is a fast-growing*monoecious* annual climber, which belongs to the family *Cucurbitaceae*. Bottle gourd fruit is rich in carbohydrates, protein, fat, and minerals including essential elements such as calcium and phosphorus.Thisnutritionally rich vegetable needs to be cultivated with balanced fertilization so that maximum yield can be achieved with optimum use of externally applied nutrients. Moreover, the site-specific application of nutrients has become increasingly important in present-day precision agriculture, which is based on need-based precise application of agricultural inputs (Dash *et al.,* 2022a).

Application of site-specific balanced nutrients on crops can be achieved with fertilizer prescription equations through a targeted yield approach as described by Ramamoorthy *et al*. (1967). The targeted yield approach considers not only the nutrient status of the soil but also the targeted yield for the determination of the amount of nutrients to be added through external sources (Ranjan *et al.,* 2018). Fertilizer application based on the targeted yield equation approach is an effective way to obtainhigher yield, net benefit,enhanced nutrient use efficiencies, and fertilizer savingover general fertilizer recommendation (Pande and Singh, 2016).Bhatt *et al.* (2021) observed that the targeted yield model for Brinjal was better than the general recommendation in terms of the net saving on fertilizers. Keeping the above factsin view,a field experiment was conducted to formulate targeted yield equations for Bottle gourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (Molina) Standl.] under a rice-vegetable cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Odisha.

Materials and Methods

The study area

The experiment was conducted at E block of the Central Research Farmof OUAT, Bhubaneswar, which comes under the East and Southeastern Coastal Plain agroclimatic zone of Odisha (latitude: 20.266829°, longitude: 85.795856°, elevation: 175 ft above mean sea level). The climate of the study site is hot humid characterized by hot summer and dry winter with mean annual rainfall, maximum and minimum temperatures of 1,657.8 mm, 33°C, and 22.4°C, respectively. The experimental site was characterized by medium land, sandy loam in soil texture, acidic (pH 5.67) in soil reaction and medium (5.5 g kg^{-1}) in soil organic carbon content. The Cation Exchange Capacityof the surface soil was 4.5 cmol $(p^{+})kg^{-1}$with 65 percentof base saturation. The experimental site was low (117 kg ha^{-1}) in average soil available nitrogen (N), medium (36 kg ha^{-1}) in average available phosphorus(P),and low (87 kg ha^{-1}) in average available potassium (K). The soil has beenclassified as fine, mixed, hyperthermic, *VerticUstochrepts* as per USDA soil taxonomy.

The experimentstarted with the creation of three soil fertility gradient strips in*Kharif*, 2019. Rice (cv. *Lalat*) was grown in these stripes by applying no N, P, K fertilizers in S-I, recommended dose of fertilizers (N:P: K::80:40:40) in S-II and double of the recommended dose (N:P:K::160:80:80) in S-III strip. Each strip was sub-divided into 24 sub-plots, from which total 72 numbers of soil samples were collected and were analyzed for soil organic carbon(Walkley and Black, 1934), available nitrogen(Subbiah and Asija, 1956),phosphorous (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) and potassium(Hanway and Heidel, 1952) as outlined by Jackson (1973). After harvest of paddy, grain and straw yields were recorded. In each strip, out of 24 sub-plots, 21 sub-plots were superimposed with different graded doses of N, P, and K fertilizers; two sub-plots (22^{nd} and 23^{rd})

were applied with FYM at 5 t and 10 t ha^{-1}, respectively and the 24^{th} plot was kept as absolute control. Differentlevels of fertilizerswereapplied at different combinations of NPK (Table 1) and bottle gourd (cv. *Kaveri*) was grown during the following *rabi* season.

Yield information was recorded, and analysis of post-harvest soil samples, fruit and vine samples was conducted using standard procedures to study the nutrient uptake followed by formulation of targeted yield equations.Nutrient requirement (NR), soil efficiency (Cs), fertilizer efficiency (Cf), and organic matter efficiency (Co) were calculated following Ramamoorthy's inductive cum targeted yield model as given below.

$$\text{NR (kg/ q)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient by bottle gourd (kg/ ha)}}{\text{Yield of bottle gourd (q/ ha)}}$$

$$\text{Cs (\%)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient in absolute control plot } \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{ha}}\right)}{\text{Initial soil test value of a particular nutrient in control plot } \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{ha}}\right)} x100$$

$$\text{Cf (\%)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient in fertilizer treated plot (kg/ ha)} - [\text{Initial soil test value} \times \text{Cs/100}]}{\text{Nutrient applied through fertilizer (kg/ha)}} x100$$

$$\text{Co (\%)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient in organic matter treated plot} - (\text{Initial soil test value of control plot with FYM} \times \text{Cs/100})}{\text{Nutrient applied through organic matter (kg/ha)}}$$

All the required parameters were calculated and combined for formulating the targeted yield equations with and without FYM as follows:

$$\text{FD}_{\text{without FYM}} = \frac{\text{NR} \times 100 \times \text{T}}{\text{Cf}} - \frac{\text{Cs} \times \text{STV}}{\text{Cf}}$$

$$\text{FD}_{\text{with FYM}} = \frac{\text{NR} \times 100 \times \text{T}}{\text{Cf}} - \frac{\text{Cs} \times \text{STV}}{\text{Cf}} - \frac{\text{Co} \times \text{nutrient } \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{t}}\right) \text{in FYM x FYM } \left(\frac{\text{t}}{\text{ha}}\right)}{\text{Cf}}$$

Where, FD = fertilizer dose (kg ha^{-1}),T = targeted yield (q ha^{-1}), and STV = soil test value.

Results and Discussions

Effect on yield of paddy crop

Three fertility gradient stripes rice crop was cultivated by applying no fertilizer in S-I block (N_0: P_0: K_0), recommended dose of fertilizer in S-II (N_{80}: P_{40}: K_{40}) and double of the recommended dose of fertilizer in S-III block (N_{160}: P_{80}: K_{80}). Data showed that the highest grain yield (45.2 q ha^{-1}) of rice was achieved in S-II block followed by S-III (42.9 q ha^{-1}) and S-I (29.6 q ha^{-1}) blocks (Table 2). On the other hand, the highest straw yield was recorded (53.4 q ha^{-1}) in S-III block followed by S-II (51.7 q ha^{-1}) and S-I (33.8 q ha^{-1}) blocks. Both grain and straw yield of rice was lowest in S-I (control). Data clearly indicated that application of recommended dose of fertilizers (S-II) resulted 52% more grain yield and 53% more straw yield compared to the control strip ($N_0P_0K_0$). However, S-III strip showed 44% more grain yield and 57% more straw yield over control strip.The results clearly showed that higher doses of fertilizer in block-III increased the vegetative growth of rice which was reflected in straw yield. Also, excess use of fertilizers often delays maturity of the crop (Gogoi *et al.,* 2011; Mishra *et al.,* 2013).

Effect on soil fertility status

The experimental result showed that the highest soil nutrient was built up in the S-III strip among three fertility gradient stripes. The mean values of soil available NPKincreasedwith increase in fertilizer doses from S-I to S-III strip. The mean available soil N was found to be 117.3, 135.9 and 145.6 kg ha^{-1}, that of P_2O_5 was 34.2, 41.8 and 64.5 kg $ha^{-1}$and the mean available K_2O was 87.1, 66.8 and 99.3 kg ha^{-1} in S-I, S-II, and S-III stripes, respectively (Table 3). Higher soil fertility status was observed in the S-III strip as the highest quantity of fertilizers were applied for rice during *kharif*and a large amount of applied nutrients might have remained unutilized after harvest of the crop (Ammal *et al.,* 2020; Singh *et al.,* 2022). Similar results are recently being reported by Pandey *et al.,* (2023) while formulating targeted yield equations for Sesame.

Effect on fruit yield

Results indicated that fruit yield of bottle gourd in S-I, S-II and S-III was found to be varied from 52.9 to 92.5, 73.6 to 106.3 and 95.1 to 116.3 q ha^{-1} with the average yield of 77.5, 87.3 and 103.5 q ha^{-1}, respectively (Table 3). The lowest yield of bottle gourd was observed in the absolute control plot in all the three fertility gradient stripes as it did not receive any fertilizer. The highest fruit yield was achieved in S-III strip with a fertilizer dose of 70:100:100 kg N: P_2O_5: K_2O and this graded dose of fertilizer recorded the highest yield in all the stripes (supplementary material). In contrast, the lowest yield was found in the S-I as no fertilizer was applied to rice during *kharif.*The percentage increase of bottle gourd fruit yield in S-II and S-III as compared to S-I was observed to be 12% and 33%, respectively. Similar observations have also been reported forfrench bean(Pogula*et al.,* 2016),greengram(Saren*et al.,* 2018)*,* coriander (Singh *et al.,* 2020), forage oat (Jhinkwan*et al.*, 2021), and rice (Mondal *et al.*, 2020). Thus, higher nutrient content in soil resulted in higher yield of bottle gourd.

Effect on biomass yield

The biomass (leaves and vine) yield in S-I, S-II and S-III was found to be ranged between 32.8 to 55.8, 41.0 to 60.2 and 53.7 to 68.4 q ha^{-1} with the average values of 44.7, 49.9 and 58.9 q ha^{-1}, respectively (Table 3). The highest biomass production was observed to follow the same trend of fruit yield i.e., biomass yield also increased from S-I to S-III. Similar observations were also recorded in different crops by the earlier workers (Gogoi *et al.*, 2011; Mishra *et al.*, 2016).

Effect on nutrient uptake

The uptake of N, P and K shows an increasing trend with an increase in artificially created fertility gradient stripes from S-I to S-III. The mean uptake of nitrogen was 26.6, 30.8 and 42.1 kg ha^{-1}, that of phosphorus was 13.8, 19.4 and 30.3 kg ha^{-1} andmean potassiumuptake was 24.5, 32.6 and 44.3 kg ha^{-1} in S-I, S-II, and S-III stripes, respectively (Table 3). Thus, the uptake of major nutrients increased with the applied nutrients and nutrient present in the soil. Higher plant nutrient uptake corresponded to higher yield of both fruit and biomass. The uptake of N, P and K was found to be highest in the fertilizer dose of 70:100:100 kg N: P_2O_5: K_2O ha^{-1} in all fertility gradient stripes (supplementary material).Among 21 fertilizer treatment plots one of each of the nutrients i.e., N, P and K was not applied (supplementary material). Both nutrient update and yield drastically reduced where a single nutrient was not applied. Such type of treatment combinations in the present investigation showed the importance of any particular macro nutrient on yield and uptake of the crop.

Formulation of targeted yield equation and ready reckoner for bottle gourd

The nutrient requirement (NR) for producing one quintal of bottle gourd was 0.3kg N, 0.2kg P_2O_5 and 0.3kg K_2O. Soil efficiency (Cs) was found to be 15, 21 and 21per cent; fertilizer efficiency (Cf) was 28, 15 and 22per cent and organic matter efficiency (Co) was 7.6, 9.8 and 7.8per cent for N, P_2O_5 and K_2O, respectively (Table 4). Such low efficiencies of nutrients in both soil and fertilizer sources could be because of persistent high levels of soil acidity prevailed in the study area since soil acidity is associated with poor nutrient availability and poor nutrient use efficiencies. Soil acidity has been reported in several other studies in the state of Odisha (Dash *et al.*, 2019; Dash *et al.,* 2022b; Pattnaik*et al.,* 2023).

Targeted yield equations for bottle gourd (*cv. Kaveri*) were thus formulated (Table 5). In the equations, the yield target (T) was fixed based on the yield potential of the crop.In the equations, SN, SP_2O_5 and SK_2O values stand for available soil nitrogen, soil phosphorus and soil potassium, respectively. Similarly, ON, OP_2O_5 and OK_2O values stand for nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium through organic sources. The amount of fertilizer to be added is dependent on the status of soil nutrients and the yield target. The equations show that if a part of the nutrient requirement is filled up by the application of FYM, there will be a net saving on the cost of fertilizers. Similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.* (2017). A ready reckoner for fertilizer doseswas prepared to facilitate farmers to achieve the desired yield target of bottle gourd by applying the required quantity of plant nutrients in different existing soil fertility levels (Table 6). A higher yield target of the crop suggested more nutrient requirements. On the other hand, lower nutrient content in the soil needed higher nutrients to achieve the desired yield target. In case, when soil nutrient content is high and yield target is low, the required fertilizer as per the equations will be very low or in some cases values might be negative. In that case, only maintenance dose at 25% of recommended dose of fertilizer is recommended to avoid the nutrient mining from soil. Thus, in soils with higher initial nutrient content, unnecessary application of fertilizers can be checked by using the targeted yield equation approach.

Conclusion

Fertilizer recommendation based on targeted yield equations is a scientific way of precise and need based nutrient management. This study has led a path towards adopting site specific nutrient management in bottle gourd crop. This will not only supply the required quantity of nutrients to achieve a specific yield target but also will help in maintaining soil fertility status over a long run.For further validation, in future the equations need to be tested at farmers' fields at multi locations with varying levels of soil fertility status and yield targets. For effective adaptation of these equationsamong the farmers, initial soil testing must be promoted as a first step. The targeted yield equations prepared in this study will especially be useful to increase production and productivity of bottle gourd crop in red, laterite and yellow soils of Odisha (*Inceptisols* and *Alfisols*) and similar soils of our country. Integrating the application of FYM along with inorganic sources of nutrients will be helpful for curtailing the amount of inorganic nutrients to be applied. Thus, targeted yield equation approach can be effectively utilized for improving bottle gourd yield, saving cost on fertilizers, besides having environmental benefits by avoiding over application of chemical fertilizers.

References

Ammal, U.B., Venkatakrishnan, L., Vetrivel, M., Sankar, R., Coumaravel, K., Dey, P.2020. Soil test based fertilizer prescriptions under IPNS for rice-rice cropping sequence in *Inceptisol* of Puducherry. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science***68**: 408–414.

Bhatt, P., Singh, S., Pant, P.K., Chandra, R., Gautam, P., Bhatt, L., Arya, A. 2021. Assessment of nutrient requirement for spring brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) through soil test crop response approach on north Indian *Mollisol*. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science***69**: 162–170.

Bray, R. H. and Kurtz, L. T. 1945. Determination of total organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science***59:** 39-45.

Dash, P.K., Mishra, A., Saren, S. 2019. Characterization and taxonomic classification of soils under a toposequence located in Eastern India. *Environment and Ecol*ogy **37** (4): 1240—1249.

Dash, P.K., Panigrahi, N., Mishra, A. 2022a. Identifying opportunities to improve digital soil mapping in India: A systematic review. *Geoderma Regional***e00478**.

Dash, P.K., Mishra, A., Patro, H., Nayak, R.K., Jena, B., Satapathy, M. 2022b. Soil characterization along a toposequence located in North-Central Plateau Agro-climatic zone of Odisha. *Ecology Environment and Conservation***28:** 471—476.

Gogoi, A., Mishra, A. and Jena, B. 2011. Soil test-based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) under rice-pumpkin cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Odisha. *Environment and Ecology***29**(2): 574-576.

Hanway, J. J. and Heidel, H. 1952. Soil analyses methods as used in Iowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory. *Iowa Agric.* **57:** 1-31.

Jackson, M. L. 1973.*Soil Chemical Analysis.* Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.

Jhinkwan, S., Singh, S., Pareek, N., Gautam, P. 2021. Optimization of integrated nutrient recommendations through soil test crop response approach for forage oats (*Avena sativa* L.) in a *Mollisol*. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **69**: 156–161.

Mishra, A., Dash, B.B., Nanda, S.K., Das, D. and Dey, P. 2013. Soil Test Based Fertilizer Recommendation for Targeted Yield of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) under Rice-Tomato Cropping System in an *Ustochrept* of Odisha.*Environment and Ecology***31**(2A): 655-658.

Mishra, A., Saren, S. and Dey, P.2016. Integrated nutrient management and formulation of targeted yield equations for Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *Environment and Ecology***35** (2A): 867-872.

Mondal, S., Gorai, D., Saha, N., Dasgupta, S., Bhattacharyya, K. and Dey, P. 2020. Integrated fertilizer prescriptions through targeted yield model and prediction of post-harvest soil test values for kharif rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **68** (3): 330-336.

Pande, J. and Singh, S. 2016 Fertilizer recommendations based on targeted yield concept for cabbage grown in a *Mollisol* of Uttarakhand. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **64** (3): 265-270.

Pandey, R., Trivedi, A., Sharma, V., Chobhe, K., Dey, P., Chandra, S. 2023. Soil test-based fertilizer prescription for targeted yield of sesame (*Sesamum Indicum* L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis***54**: 2572–2578.

Pattanaik, T., Dash, P.K., Mishra, A., Saren, S., Phonglosa, A. and Kishore, M.A. 2023. Vertical Distribution of Available Plant Nutrients in Soils of Mid-Central Tableland Agro-Climatic Zone of Odisha, India, *Environment and Ecology***41** (4D) : 2982—2988.

Pogula, S., Truptimayee, Mishra, A., Saren, S. and Dey, P. 2016. Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield oftest-basedan (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) under Rice-French bean cropping system. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management***7**(5): 1128-1130.

Ramamoorthy, B., Narasimhan, R. L., Dinesh, R. S. 1967. Fertilizer application for specific yield targets on Sonora 64 (wheat). *Indian Farming***17**(5): 43-45.

Ranjan, K.R., Singh, Y., Singh, S., Dey, P. 2018. Fertilizer recommendations developed through soil test crop response studies with integrated plant nutrient management system for field pea in an *Inceptisol.Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science***66**: 318–323.

Saren, S., Mishra, A. and Dey, P. 2018. Site specific nutrient management through targeted yield equations formulated for green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *Legume Research***41**(3): 436-440.

Singh, J., Mavil, M., Saini, S.P. 2022. Fertilizer prescription for target yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum) in alluvial soils. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences***92:** 1502–1505.

Singh, Y., Parveen, A., Singh, S., Dey, P. 2017. Soil test-based fertilizer prescriptions under integrated plant nutrient management system for barley in an *Inceptisol*of eastern plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science***65**: 423–427.

Singh, Y.V., Singh, S.K., Meena, R.N., Jatav, H.S. 2020. Soil test crop response approach for optimizing integrated plant nutrient management supply for coriander in an *Inceptisol* of eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **68** (1): 114-119.

Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, G. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen soils. *Current Science* **25:** 259-260.

Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil science* **37**(1): 29-38.

Table 1. Levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and FYM used in experiment

N level (kg ha^{-1})	P_2O_5 level (kg ha^{-1})	K_2O level (kg ha^{-1})	FYM (t ha^{-1})
0	0	0	0
30	60	60	5
50	80	80	10
70	100	100	-

Table 2 Effect of graded doses of fertilizer on Rice

Block-I $N_0P_0K_0$		Block-II $N_{80}P_{40}K_{40}$			Block-III $N_{160}P_{80}K_{80}$		
Yield (q ha^{-1})		Yield (q ha^{-1})		% increase	Yield (q ha^{-1})		% increase
Grain	29.6	Grain	45.2	52	Grain	42.9	44
Straw	33.8	Straw	51.7	53	Straw	53.4	57

Table 3. Range and average yield of fruit and biomass of Bottle Gourd (cv. *Kaveri*), soil test values and NPK uptake in different fertility gradient stripes.

Parameter	Strip-I		Strip -II		Strip-III	
	Range	Mean ±SEm	Range	Mean ±SEm	Range	Mean ±SEm
Fruit yield (q ha^{-1})	52.9-92.9	77.5±1.38	73.6-106.3	87.3±1.35	95.1-116.3	103.5±0.61
Vine yield (q ha^{-1})	32.8-55.8	44.7±1.11	41.0-60.2	49.9±1.0	53.7-68.4	58.9±0.51
Av. N (kg ha^{-1})	106.7-128.6	117.3±0.48	123.8-143.8	135.9±0.42	137.9-152.3	145.6±0.36
Av. P_2O_5 (kg ha^{-1})	27.6-38.8	34.2±0.62	31.9-49.1	41.8±0.93	45.3-75.9	64.5±1.23
Av. K_2O (kg ha^{-1})	66.1-126.7	87.1±1.75	42.6-102.8	66.8±1.72	65.2-195.6	99.3±1.13
N uptake (kg ha^{-1})	12.2-43.8	26.6±1.61	20.2-47.6	30.8±1.81	27.1-61.0	42.1±1.61
P uptake (kg ha^{-1})	5.0-26.9	13.8±1.93	10.5-34.8	19.4±1.85	16.2-45.0	30.3±1.79
K uptake (kg ha^{-1})	9.6-42.4	24.5±1.63	15.1-53.5	32.6±1.96	25.1-68.4	44.3±1.60

Table 4. Basic data required for fertilizer adjustment equations of bottle gourd in *Inceptisols.*

Basic data	N	P_2O_5	K_2O
Nutrient requirement (kg q^{-1})	0.3	0.2	0.3
Soil efficiency (Cs, %)	15	21	21
Fertilizer efficiency (Cf, %)	28	15	22

Organic matter efficiency (Co, %)	7.6	9.8	7.8

Table 5. Targeted yield equations for bottle gourd

Fertilizer dose	Without FYM	With FYM (IPNS)
Nitrogen (kg ha^{-1})	FN= 1.26T – 0.54 SN	FN= 1.26T – 0.54 SN – 0.26 O N
P_2O_5 (kg ha^{-1})	FP_2O_5= 1.6T- 1.34 S P_2O_5	FP_2O_5= 1.6T- 1.34 S P_2O_5 – 0.62 O P_2O_5
K_2O (kg ha^{-1})	FK_2O= 1.6T- 0.94 S K_2O	FK_2O= 1.6T- 0.94 S K_2O – 0.34 O K_2O

(FN, FP_2O_5 and FK_2O= Nitrogen phosphatic and potassic fertilizers required (kg ha^{-1}); T= Yield target (in quintals); SN, S P_2O_5 and S K_2O = Soil testing values of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O (in kg); ON, OP_2O_5 and OK_2O = Nutrients N, P_2O_5 and K_2O supplied through organic source (in kg))

Table 6. Ready reckoner chart of fertilizer doses for different yield targets of bottle gourd under varying fertility status

Initial soil status (kg ha^{-1})			Yield Target (q ha^{-1})											
			80			100			120			140		
N	P_2O_5	K_2O	N	P_2O_5	K_2O	N	P_2O_5	K_2O	N	P_2O_5	K_2O	N	P_2O_5	K_2O
100	20	70	47	101	62	72	133	94	97	165	126	122	197	158
110	25	80	41	94	53	66	126	85	92	158	117	117	190	149
120	30	90	36	88	43	61	120	75	86	152	107	112	184	139
130	35	100	31	81	34	56	113	66	81	145	98	106	177	130
140	40	110	25	74	20	50	106	57	76	138	89	101	186	121
150	45	120	20	68	15	12.5	100	20	70	132	79	95	163	111
160	50	130	14	61	20	40	93	20	65	125	70	90	157	102
170	55	140	9	54	20	34	86	28	59	118	60	85	150	92
180	60	150	4	48	20	29	80	19	54	112	51	79	144	79

190	65	160	12.5	41	20	23	73	10	49	105	42	74	137	74