

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_115592
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal Activities of Non-volatile Compound of Trichoderma harzianum And Trichoderma longibrachiatum Against Rot Fungi Associated with Ananas Comosus Linn. (Pineapple).
Type of the Article	Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes absolutely as it deals with use of mycofungicides for controlling plant pathogens.</p> <p>Yes but I will like to modify it as "Antifungal Activities of Non-volatile Compounds of <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> And <i>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</i> Against Rot Fungi Associated with <i>Ananas comosus</i> Linn.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>There are no images in the article, challenging its authenticity.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In my opinion there is scope for improving language of the article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There is good scope for arranging references according to style prescribed by journal.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. B. Yemul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>R. T. M. Nagpur University, India</b>