

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_115566
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection and Classification of Human Gender into Male and Female Using Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Model
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is well written but there is some corrections are listed.</p> <p>Title of the article is well framed</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive and based on the changes have to revise</p> <p>The motivation of the research should be included in the Introduction section</p> <p>Yes overall manuscript is well written whereas the technical comments are mentioned should be carefully addressed.</p> <p>Recent literatures have to be including in the reference and cite inside the literature review section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the paper should correct with the native English speaker or professionals</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The data collection from Kaggle dataset is taken, it is not clearly mentioned and URL details of the data repository</p> <p>2. The proposed work should be given in the block diagram and algorithm is not clearly presented.</p> <p>3. Ensure all the figure should be maintain 300 dpi and text inside maintain clear.</p> <p>4. All the equation should give in the math tool and results & discussion section is not detailed descriptions with the results obtained.</p> <p>5. There is no novelty of the results and quantum of the work is not emphasizing more on the quality results.</p> <p>6. There is no comparison of the proposed work with the other existing work.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Martin Sagayam
Department, University & Country	Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, India