

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115069
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY OF VISUAL AIDS AND THE ENGAGEMENT OF LEARNERS IN THE TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Scientific Significance: This study emphasises the influence of visual aids on student engagement, a crucial subject as education progresses with technology. This is highly beneficial for educators and individuals involved in educational growth.</p> <p>Article Title: The title effectively conveys the study's main objective and results, rendering it suitable for readers.</p> <p>Abstract: Provides a comprehensive summary, but should incorporate concise references to study methodologies and significant findings to present a more comprehensive understanding.</p> <p>Organisation: The structure is well-structured, however, include a section on the study's implications would enhance its utility.</p> <p>The research design and technique demonstrate a high level of scientific accuracy. The scientific depth of the study could be enhanced by doing a comparative analysis with similar studies.</p> <p>References: The inclusion of more recent studies on technology in education would be advantageous, however the current sources are satisfactory.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is clear but needs small textual improvements. Promote proofreading for a smoother end.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Consideration of the limitations of the study and suggestions for future research directions would provide a more balanced view and encourage ongoing inquiry into this significant topic.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arkar Htet
Department, University & Country	Mullion Façade Engineering School, Myanmar