

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_116144
Title of the Manuscript:	Energizing the Next Generation and Strengthening the Agriculture Sector: Validating Key Indicators for Farm Exit in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. This manuscript examines the factors that support the sustainability of the agricultural sector in Sri Lanka. A problem experienced by many agricultural countries. It is hoped that the factors found in this research can be alternative solutions to maintain the sustainability of the agricultural sector in rural communities.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript has been described comprehensively.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. However, there are several references that are not cited in the manuscript but are included in the References section. It is recommended to use the reference system.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language/English quality of the article is quite suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In my opinion, this article may be accepted after a minor revision by the authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Retno Wedowati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma, Indonesia