

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_116174</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Information perception of Poultry farming systems</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Needs some improvement. My suggestion is, ““Insights into Information Perception in Poultry Farming Systems: A Comprehensive Review”</p> <p>A peer-reviewed article abstract typically should have a word limit ranging between 150 to 300 words. Yours has 340. Work on this so that your article can look professional</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In a peer-reviewed article for publishing, the numbered references should be arranged in the order they are cited in the text. Each reference is assigned a unique number when it is first cited in the manuscript. When listing these references at the end of the article, they should be presented in numerical order based on their assigned numbers.</p> <p>A general guideline is to aim for around 25-40 high-quality references for a standard research article. This article has only 20</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, though it needs grammatical improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A peer-reviewed article for publishing typically requires 4-8 keywords or phrases. These keywords are essential for facilitating online searches and ensuring that the article reaches its intended audience.</p> <p>The introduction for a peer-reviewed article for publishing should ideally be 1 page, with a maximum of 400 words distributed over 1-4 paragraphs. Some journals may have specific word count restrictions, such as limiting the introduction to 350 words. It is recommended to include 5-10 references in the introduction section</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thomas Opiyo Okumu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenya</b>