

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Archives of Current Research International</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_ACRI_116082</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Current global occurrence of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) infections in hospitalized patients: A literature review</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review Article</b>  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria? Please specify. I can just see from the flow chart only duplicate articles and those not pertaining to carbapenem resistance were excluded. But why they were counted in the very first time?</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes but needs improvement</p>   |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Needs improvement, I have highlighted the areas requiring changes</p> <p>Referencing style in not appropriate and not uniform</p>   |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Udayalaxmi</b>                      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Kasturba Medical College, India</b> |