

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106651
Title of the Manuscript:	PRECISION NITROGEN MANAGEMENT IN RAINFED MAIZE (Zea mays L.) THROUGH HAND HELD DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The basic concept of the work is sound, but the introduction and experimental sections employ outdated references and are poorly described. Additionally, the information in their technique part is incomplete and insufficiently useful. The authors were unable to effectively address the main point of the original study. The earliest references were also included in this paper to substantiate the findings and viewpoints of the authors. There are not enough references, and they are also out of date. The references section (which was disorganised) contained various errors. Please support all of your claims with statistical evidence. Poor grammar and a disorganised presentation characterise the language. There are a number of verbs and sentences that have been used several times. Despite covering important subtopics, the authors were unable to adequately address the main topic of the original study. In the part titled "Results and Discussion," the author listed every title along with their conclusions but did not go into detail about each one separately. I was unable to find any organisation or clear explanation; everything was a mess.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahira H. EL-Moslamy
Department, University & Country	Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, Egypt