

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112128
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CRAB SHELL BASED MAGNETIC NANOPARTICLES FOR THE REMEDIATION OF ABATTOIR WASTE WATERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but it would be better if the result is discussed in a separate section "Discussion". Discussion must contain comparative analysis of findings of this research with the other published results.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but the author(s) need to discuss the results and need to compare the result shown by author(s) with other published findings.</p> <p>Based on data provided by author(s), it seems to be correct.</p> <p>Reference number 12 is found incorrect. This reference should be https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.10.039. Similarly, all the other references need to be verified to ensure their accuracy.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. Manuscript requires formatting, grammar check and spell check.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiv Kumar
Department, University & Country	Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, India