

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115960
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL STUDIES AND ITS BIOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES OF Fusarium WILT (Fusarium oxysporum F.SP. LINI) OF LINSEED
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important to the scientific community, especially the agricultural aspect of growing a very important crop (flax crop).</p> <p>The title is quite appropriate</p> <p>It requires some minor modifications, which are mentioned in the manuscript</p> <p>etairporppa ylrif</p> <p>tcerroc ,seY</p> <p>tpircsunam eht ni denoitnem era dna secnerefer tneceer eriuqer secnerefeR</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>elbatius yrev si hsilgnE ,seY</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>eht ot dedda eb tsum taht snoitidda elpmis emos era ereht tub ,tnatropmi si tpircsunam eht hcihw ni noiger ro yrtnuoc eht ,tpircsunam eht fo yrammus eht ni ,elpmaxe roF .tpircsunam si tnemirepxe eht ecnis yllaicepse ,denoitnem ton saw detcudnoc saw tnemirepxe eht reffid secnatsmucric fo selbairav eht dna snoitidnoc latnemnorivne no tnedneped yllacidar ...rehtona ot yrtnuoc eno morf</p> <p>As for references, modern references must be added in order to give the manuscript a modern scientific sobriety</p> <p>eht fo tsom ni srehraeser rehto no yler ton did tpircsunam eht ni rehcaeser eht ,noitidda ni stnemirepxe eht gnitcudnoc fo sdohtem</p> <p>But this does not mean that the manuscript is not scientifically sound and scientifically important in agricultural sciences</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> gnihtoN	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saif Saadallah Hassan
Department, University & Country	Iraq