

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_113192</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The role of modern biotechnology in the fight against the current and the coming climate change</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, But I do not see that he has done well, I really do not like the parts of the structure of the manuscript, does not mention the methodology, results and discussion...</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is understood but he has to expand it a bit, and add a paragraph of the results obtained.</p> <p>4. More or less the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes. I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent, the part of the references is quite rich. But there is the part of the references has to be checked carefully because there are references in the text and they are not in the bibliography and vice versa, in the manuscript you can find all my comments.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. The language/English quality of the manuscript is quite suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>- All comments and recommendations are placed in the text of the manuscript, please check them.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aziz Benhamrouche</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Constantine 1, Algeria</b>