

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofPlant&SoilScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_116066
TitleoftheManuscript:	EFFICACYOFBORONFERTILIZERAPPLICATIONINIMPROVING,YIELD,NUTRIENTUPTAKEANDBORONUSEEFFICIENCYOFTOMATOINCOASTAL SOIL
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correctthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartin the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors shouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
Compulsory REVISIONcomments		
1.Isthemanuscriptimportantforscientificcommunity? (Pleasewritefewsentencesonthismanuscript)	Yes,itis Itstatedin"Introduction": "Problemsofcoastalsandysoilsarebeyondthecapacityofthefarmer'stoaddressthe exploitation of these stressed coastal ecosystems for arable cropping will increase the vegetables production to commensurate with the ever increasing population of our country."	
2.Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes,butwithanote. Thearticletitleistoolong(morethan15words).It'sbesttoshortenthetitle,forexample: "Efficacy of Boron Fertilizer Application In Coastal Soil"	
3.Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?	Yes,itis Theabstractofthearticleexplains theresearchobjectives,researchdesign,research method, and research results.	
4.Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscriptappropriate?	Yes,itis. Introduction,MaterialsandMethods,ResultsandDiscussions,andConclusionare explained in detail, clearly and structured.	
5.Doyouthinkthemanuscriptisscientificallycorrect?	Yes,itis. Theresearchresultscomefromtheexperimentsconducted.	

ReviewForm1.7

6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Using a reference for the last ten years, the percentage is very small, 51% (at least 70%).
Minor REVISION comments	
1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Suggestion: It is recommended that tables and figures be placed in the Results and Discussions section. This way, readers will understand it more easily.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erni Puspanantasari Putri
Department, University & Country	Universitas 17 Agustus 1945, Indonesia