

# EFFICACY OF BORON FERTILIZER APPLICATION IN IMPROVING, YIELD, NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND BORON USE EFFICIENCY OF TOMATO IN COASTAL SOIL

## ABSTRACT

Boron (B) is an essential micronutrient for plant growth and development, playing a pivotal role in various physiological processes within the plant. In tomato cultivation, maintaining adequate boron levels is crucial for achieving optimal yield and quality. However, due to factors such as soil type, environmental conditions, and plant genetics, boron deficiency or inefficient utilization often poses challenges for growers, leading to reduced productivity and economic losses in coastal regions of Tamilnadu. This study investigates the efficacy of boron fertilizer application in improving boron use efficiency (BUE) of tomato in coastal soil. A field experiment was conducted in the farmer's field at Varagurpettai coastal village, near Chidambaram in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu, during July-November, 2022 using tomato variety NTH-777 as test crop. The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture and taxonomically classified as *Typic Ustifluvent* with pH-8.39, EC-4.07 dSm<sup>-1</sup> and analysed low status of organic carbon (2.47 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). The soil analysed low in alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (145.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Olsen-P (10.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-K (157.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The available hot water soluble B content (0.27 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was also low in soil. The various treatments imposed in the study included T<sub>1</sub>-Control (RDF alone/100% NPK), T<sub>2</sub>-RDF + Composted coirpith (CCP) @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (B) @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application (SA), T<sub>4</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (B) through foliar application (FA) @ 0.5% twice @ pre flowering stage (PFS) and flowering stage (FS), T<sub>5</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (SA) + (FA), T<sub>6</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (BH) @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil application (SA), T<sub>7</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (FA), T<sub>8</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (SA) + FA, T<sub>9</sub>-RDF + Borax Enriched Composted coirpith (BECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (SA), T<sub>10</sub>-RDF + Borohumate Enriched Composted coirpith (BHECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (SA), T<sub>11</sub>-RDF + BECCP (SA) + Borax (FA) and T<sub>12</sub>-RDF + BHECCP (SA) + Borohumate (FA). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The results of the field experiment clearly indicated that integrated application of NPK fertilizer along with B enriched organic manures + biofertilizer and foliar spray of borohumate (BH) positively increased the growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake by tomato. This treatment recorded the highest agronomic efficiency (4.10 t kg<sup>-1</sup>), agro physiological efficiency (20.45 t kg<sup>-1</sup>), apparent recovery efficiency (43.45%), physiological efficiency (14.30 t kg<sup>-1</sup>) and boron use efficiency (410.34). This research contributes to the development of boron utilization dynamics and this study paves the way for future advancements in nutrient management strategies tailored to maximize yield and quality in tomato production systems.

**Keyword:** Boron, Boron use efficiency, Borohumate, Coastal saline soil, Tomato.

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato plants, scientifically known as *Solanum lycopersicum*, are among the most beloved and widely cultivated vegetable plants worldwide. Belonging to the Solanaceae family, which also includes potatoes, eggplants, and peppers, tomatoes are renowned for their

vibrant colors, versatile culinary applications, and nutritional value. Native to South America, particularly the Andes region, tomatoes have a rich history dating back thousands of years, with evidence of their cultivation by ancient civilizations like the Aztecs and Incas. Today, tomato plants are ubiquitous in gardens, farms, and even urban settings, cherished by home gardeners and commercial growers alike for their ease of cultivation and abundant yields. These plants typically exhibit a sprawling growth habit, with indeterminate varieties capable of producing fruit continuously throughout the growing season, while determinate types yield a more concentrated crop over a shorter period. The coastline of the Indian Peninsula is 8,129 kilometers long. The country's entire coastal region encompasses 10.78 million hectares. Only Tamil Nadu accounts about 6,80,622 hectares of coastal land, which makes up 26.8% of the total area of the coastal districts. Salt-affected soils cover over 1,125 million hectares worldwide. About 2.96 million saline and 3.79 million sodic soils, and 6.75 million hectares of salt-affected soils, are found in India. Nearly 2.04 L hectare of saline coastal soil are found in Tamil Nadu (Mandal *et al.*, 2018 and GOI, 2020). Sustainable agricultural production is greatly hampered by the coarse-textured soil that makes up, on average, 50% of the coastal districts (Shailesh, 2017; Sanower and Hossain, 2019; Kumar and Sharma, 2020 and Daniel *et al.*, 2021).

Problems of coastal sandy soils are beyond the capacity of the farmer's to address the exploitation of these stressed coastal ecosystems for arable cropping will increase the vegetables production to commensurate with the ever increasing population of our country. From this perceptible, it is important that the coastal sandy/sandy loam soils can be handled as the next frontiers for agriculture (Singaravel *et al.*, 2006 and Sabareshwari and Ramya, 2018). In Tamil Nadu, the area under cultivation of tomato is 41,545 hectares with a production of about 8.15 lakh tonnes and productivity is 6.25 tonnes per hectare. Tomato is one of the commonly grown vegetable crops in coastal areas of Tamil Nadu. The short duration, low cost of cultivation and regular income due to staggered harvesting attracted many farmers in this region to cultivate tomato. However, the yield realized by the coastal farmers is around 12-15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, which is very low and far below as compared to national average yield of around 20-25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The reasons being, poor organic matter and micronutrient status of soil and adoption of traditional management practices. The growth, yield and quality of tomato are largely influenced by the application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers. Hence, there is an imperative need to increase the production of vegetables by way of adopting some improved cultivation practices such as foliar feeding of nutrients and growth stimulants in addition to the basal application of nutrients.

In this context, considering the inherent poor soil fertility, poor yield and economic condition of the coastal farmers, it is an imperative need that the enrichment of locally available organic wastes will be thought of, for achieving sustainable yield at minimum cost. Coastal areas of Tamil Nadu are endowed with a variety of organic wastes, which contain plant nutrients and several growth principles and enzymes, which can sustain soil health and crop production. Hence, in the present investigation, an attempt has been made with evaluation of different source of boron fertilizer, recommended dose of NPK along with boron enriched organic manures as well established the method of boron fertilization for increasing the productivity and quality of tomato and to improve the soil fertility status for sustainable soil health in coastal saline soils.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted in the farmer's field at Varagurpettai coastal village, near Chidambaram in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu, during July-November, 2022 using Tomato variety NTH-777 as test crop. The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture

and taxonomically classified as *Typic Ustifluent* with pH-8.39, EC-4.07 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and analysed low status of organic carbon (2.47 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). The soil analysed low in alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (145.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Olsen-P (10.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-K (157.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The available hot water soluble B content (0.27 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was also low in soil. The various treatments imposed in the study included T<sub>1</sub>-Control (RDF alone/100% NPK), T<sub>2</sub>-RDF + Composted coirpith (CCP) @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (B) @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application (SA), T<sub>4</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (B) through foliar application (FA) @ 0.5% twice @ pre flowering stage (PFS) and flowering stage (FS), T<sub>5</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borax (SA) + (FA), T<sub>6</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (BH) @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> soil application (SA), T<sub>7</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (FA), T<sub>8</sub>-RDF + CCP + Borohumate (SA) + FA, T<sub>9</sub>-RDF + Borax Enriched Composted coirpith (BECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (SA), T<sub>10</sub>-RDF + Borohumate Enriched Composted coirpith (BHECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>(SA), T<sub>11</sub>-RDF + BECCP (SA) + Borax (FA) and T<sub>12</sub>-RDF + BHECCP (SA) + Borohumate (FA). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Fertilizer recommendation was followed at the rate of 200:250:250 kg of N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare was applied through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash to all experimental plots uniformly. Half of the N and entire P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied as basal and the remaining half dose of N was applied in two splits at flowering and fruit formation stage. Required quantities of different boron fertilizers like borax and borohumate were applied either through soil as well as foliar. Foliar application of borax and borohuamte (BH) @ 0.5 per cent twice at Pre Flowering Stage (PFS) and at Flowering Stage (FS) was applied as per the treatment schedule. Calculated quantities of boron enriched organic manures like borax enriched composted coirpith (BECCP) and borohumate enriched composted coir pith (BHECCP) were also applied to the soil as per treatment schedule. The biofertilizer *Azospirillum* @ 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied to all the experimental plots.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth characters of tomato (Table 1)

The application of boron enriched organics manures along with boron (B) fertilization favourably increased the growth characters of tomato. Among the various sources of boron tried, application of boron through borohumate was superior over borax. Among the different methods of B fertilization both soil and foliar application was significantly superior as compared to soil and foliar alone. Among the two sources of boron enriched organics tried, application of borohumate enriched composted coirpith (BHECCP) was superior over borax enriched composted coirpith (BECCP).

Among the various treatments, the treatment (T<sub>12</sub>), combined application of recommended dose of NPK + borohumate enriched composted coirpith (BHECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application along with foliar application of borohumate @ 1.0 per cent twice at pre flowering stage (PFS) and at flowering stage (FS) recorded the highest growth characters of plant height (60.25), No. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (109.18) and dry matter production (132.19) at harvest stages of tomato, respectively. This was followed by the treatments which received RDF along with B as borax enriched composted coirpith (BECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application (SA) and foliar spray of borax @ 0.5 per cent twice (T<sub>11</sub>), RDF + borohumate enriched composted coirpith (BHECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application (T<sub>10</sub>) and treatment T<sub>9</sub>, which supplied RDF

+ BECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which recorded a plant height, No. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry matter production of 25.31, 118.11 and 111.37 cm at harvest stage, respectively.

This was followed by the treatments individual application of borohumate or borax either through soil or foliar and or both along with organics (without B enriched organics) treatments like T<sub>8</sub>, RDF + borohumate (BH) @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> both soil as well as foliar application of borohumate @ 0.5% twice and treatment T<sub>5</sub>, RDF + CCP along with borax through soil and foliar application which recorded the lowest mean growth characters viz., plant height of 104.29 cm, No. Of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> of 112.22 and dry matter production of 97.25 cm at harvest stage of tomato, respectively as compared to above said enriched organics applied treatments. However, the treatment T<sub>5</sub> was found to be comparable with RDF + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> along with borohumate (BH) @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application alone (T<sub>6</sub>). This was followed by the treatments arranged in the descending order like T<sub>3</sub>>T<sub>7</sub>>T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. The control (T<sub>1</sub>) produced the lowest growth characters over all other treatments (RDF alone).

The treatment T<sub>12</sub>, recorded the maximum plant height, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry matter production and this might be due to the increased nutrient supply with the addition of chemical fertilizer and boron enriched organics. Further, foliar spray of boron as borohumate might have induced the synthesis of chlorophyll content and direct effect of plant growth like enzymatic activity which in turn resulted in higher vegetative growth parameters viz., plant height, number of branches per plant and dry matter production (DMP) of tomato. These findings also are in conformity with several workers of Asri *et al.* (2015) Kumar *et al.* (2016) and Sathiyamurthi *et al.* (2022).

### **Yield of Tomato (Table 2)**

The tomato responded well for the boron nutrition fertilizers (borax or borohumate) application. The significant influence of boron fertilization (soil or foliar and or both), recommended dose of NPK along with B enriched organics in increasing the fruit and stover yield of tomato was well documented in the present study.

The yield realized under the nutrient impoverished coastal saline soil, the highest fruit yield (29.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (19.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with combined application of recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) + borohumate enriched composted coirpith (BHECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil along with foliar spray of borohumate (BH) @ 0.5 per cent twice at pre flowering and flowering stage (T<sub>12</sub>). This was followed by the treatments T<sub>11</sub>, (RDF + borax enriched composted coirpith (BECCP) @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil application and foliar application of borax @ 0.5%), T<sub>10</sub> (RDF + BHECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>9</sub> (RDF + BECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) these treatments which recorded the fruit (28.38, 27.63 and 26.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover (18.91, 18.38 and 17.71 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield of tomato, respectively. With regards to application of recommended dose of NPK, boron nutrition either through soil or foliar or both along with organics (without B enriched organics) treatments like T<sub>8</sub>, (RDF + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + borohumate @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through SA + borohumate @ 0.5% through FA) and T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + borax @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SA) + borax @ 0.5% (FA) + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, the treatment T<sub>5</sub> was on par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF + borohumate @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (SA) + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

This was followed by application of B as borohumate or borax either through soil or foliar alone treatments viz., T<sub>3</sub> (RDF + CCP + Borax @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil alone). T<sub>7</sub> (RDF + CCP + BH @ 0.5% through foliar alone) and T<sub>4</sub> (RDF + CCP + Borax @ 0.5%

through foliar alone) these treatments which recorded the lowest fruit yield (24.63, 23.88 and 23.11 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (16.29, 15.77 and 15.31 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of tomato, respectively as compared to above said B-enriched composted coirpith treatments. This was followed by the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (RDF + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Among the various treatments, the treatment (T<sub>12</sub>), which received recommended dose of NPK + borohumate enriched composted coirpith along with boron nutrients as borohumate through both soil (BHECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and foliar (BH @ 0.5%) application recorded a fruit and stover yield of 29.15 and 19.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup> which was 34.45 and 36.67 per cent increase over control or 100 per cent NPK alone (without B and enriched organics). The control treatment T<sub>1</sub>, 100 per cent NPK alone recorded a lowest fruit (21.68 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover (14.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield of tomato as compare to all other treatments.

Overall improvement in fruit and stover yield of tomato was due to the application of recommended dose of NPK fertilizers along with borohumate enriched composted coirpith @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This could be attributed to the fact that the nutrients in the borohumate are released gradually through the process of mineralization, maintaining optimal soil B levels over entire or prolonged periods of crop growth. Some of the humic substances are released during mineralization and may act as a chelate forms which also helps in increasing the absorption of boron and other essential micronutrients. The earlier report of Yadav *et al.* (2007) and Punithraj *et al.* (2012) supports the present findings. Further, the borohumate derived from humic acids are known to form chelates with other ions and thus it improves translocation of the nutrients within the plant system and may improve the nutrient use efficiency by providing more balanced supply of nutrients. The present result was in harmony with the findings of Venkatakrishnan *et al.* (2019) and Yadav *et al.* (2023) in tomato. The increased tomato yield due to the application of different forms of humic substance and NPK fertilizer have already been well documented by Sangeetha and Singaram (2005); Balamurugan (2006); Vetriselvan (2011) and Venkatakrishnan *et al.* (2021).

### **Boron Use Efficiency (Table 3)**

The boron use efficiency (BUE) parameters *viz.*, agronomic efficiency, agro-physiological efficiency, physiological efficiency, apparent efficiency recovery and boron use efficiency was positively influenced due to the different modes of boron fertilization along with boron enriched CCP and recommended NPK fertilizer.

Among the various B enriched organics applied treatment the application of 100 per cent recommended dose of NPK + BHECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil (T<sub>10</sub>) recorded the highest agronomic efficiency (4.10 t kg<sup>-1</sup>), agro physiological efficiency (20.45 t kg<sup>-1</sup>), apparent recovery efficiency (43.45%), physiological efficiency (14.30 t kg<sup>-1</sup>) and boron use efficiency (410.34). This was followed by the treatments. T<sub>9</sub> – RDF + BECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil, T<sub>12</sub> – RDF + BHECCP (SA) + Borohumate (FA) and T<sub>11</sub> – RDF + BECCP (SA) + Borax (FA) through soil, which recorded the boron use efficiency values of 307.65, 136.51 and 117.54, respectively.

This was followed by the treatments which received B as borax/borohumate either through soil or foliar or combination of both along with organics (without B enriched CCP) applied treatments. The treatment T<sub>6</sub> application RDF + CCP + BH @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil recorded the lowest agronomic efficiency (2.51 t kg<sup>-1</sup>), agro physiological efficiency (14.02 t kg<sup>-1</sup>),

apparent nutrient recovery (20.01%), physiological efficiency (14.07 t kg<sup>-1</sup>) and boron use efficiency (251.03).

The higher value of boron use efficiency was recorded with application of boron through borohumate enriched organics. This might be due to favorable effect of boron on efficient utilization during entire crop growth periods (Patel and Golakiya, 1986). The positive effect of boron on boron use efficiency could be attributed due to the favorable effect of boron alters the permeability of plasma lemma at the root surface in such a way that boron absorption by roots increases and greater utilization of applied boron by tomato (Sarkar *et al.*, 2007).

Nutrient use efficiency can be defined as the ability of plants to produce maximal amounts of DMP or yield per each increment of nutrient accumulation (Swaidar *et al.*, 1994). The highest boron use efficiency obtained in the present investigation was due to the application of recommended dose of fertilizer + borohumate @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + borax @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with fortified organic manures of BECCP and BHECCP. This treatment recorded the highest boron use efficiency of 195.33 tonnes tonnes<sup>-1</sup>. Further, the tomato hybrid showed marked variation in boron use efficiency parameters *viz.*, agronomic efficiency, physiological efficiency, agro-physiological efficiency, apparent recovery and boron utilization efficiency. The highest use efficiency in integrated treatments may be due to very small amounts of B used from the fertilizer in slow and steady rate (Li *et al.*, 2023). This might be due to the higher yield and uptake obtained from the treatment T<sub>12</sub>, which concordantly increased the boron use efficiency. This was confirming with the earlier findings of Tariq *et al.* (2022) and Kamaleshwaran (2023).

#### **Major nutrients uptake (Table 4)**

The uptake of major nutrients by tomato at all the growth stages as well as by fruit and stover was also significantly increased with boron fertilization and recommended NPK along with B enriched organics.

Both the sources of B studied, there was a significant increase in NPK uptake by tomato over control. Among the sources of boron, application of B through borohumate performed well and registered the highest NPK uptake by tomato fruit and stover as compared to borax. Among the various treatments, the combined application of recommended NPK along with BHECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and foliar spray of borohumate @ 0.5 per cent twice (T<sub>12</sub>) registered the highest uptake of NPK at all the growth stages.

This was followed by the treatments T<sub>11</sub> (RDF + BECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil + borax @ 0.5% through foliar spray), T<sub>10</sub> (RDF + BHECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil alone), T<sub>9</sub> (RDF + BECCP @ 6.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> through soil alone) and T<sub>8</sub> (RDF + CCP @ 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + borohumate @ 15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as SA + borohumate @ 0.5% as FA). The treatments T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> recorded a significant nitrogen (51.63, 48.83, 46.01 and 43.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by fruit and 88.66, 83.71, 79.04 and 74.18 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by stover), phosphorus (21.89, 20.61, 19.40 and 18.05 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by fruit and 27.91, 26.39, 24.87 and 23.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by stover) and potassium uptake (75.85, 71.78, 67.75 and 63.64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by fruit and 123.32, 116.66, 110.12 and 103.52 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by stover). This was followed by the treatments T<sub>5</sub> (RDF + CCP + borax @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> SA + borax as FA @ 0.5%) and treatment T<sub>6</sub> (RDF + CCP + borohumate as SA alone). The treatment T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> recorded a comparable nitrogen (39.97 and 40.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (15.96 and 16.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (58.05 and 59.49 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptake by fruit

in tomato, respectively. This was followed by the treatments are arranged in the descending order like  $T_3 > T_7 > T_4$  and  $T_2$ . These treatments were also statistically significant. The treatment  $T_1$ , application of 100% NPK alone (without organics and B) recorded the lowest NPK uptake at all growth stages of tomato.

The reason behind the increased N uptake was supposed to be due to the better use efficiency of applied N fertilizers in the presence of borohumate coupled with the retarded nitrification process enabling the slow availability of applied N and reduced the losses of nitrogen through denitrification and leaching which resulted in higher N uptake, similar findings were reported by Farzaneh *et al.* (2010).

In addition, applied NPK resulted in better root growth and increased physiological activity of roots to absorb more phosphorus. These findings are in conformity with Firoz (2009). Moreover, the higher root growth of tomato due to addition of boron had better mobilization of phosphorus resulted in more accumulation of P content in plants. Similar results were noticed in Kumar *et al.* (2022) in radish; Kalaiselvi (2013) and Sarangthem *et al.* (2015) in tomato.

Increased K uptake might be due to better plant growth leading to higher uptake of nutrients and further on the stimulatory effect of B in absorption of potassium. These results are in accordance with the findings of Islam *et al.* (2011). The ready availability of K and other nutrients from inorganic sources produced adequate biomass, which resulted in better nutrient uptake of the crop. These findings are in agreement with Singh *et al.* (2005). Further added B-enriched organics improved the organic carbon content of soil through decomposition, which helped in the release of organically bound macro and micronutrients in soil. Ray *et al.* (2005) and Noor *et al.* (2011) also reported such increased availability of nutrients with organics.

### **Boron uptake (Fig. 1)**

The effect due to the different methods (soil/foilage) and sources of boron (borohumate/borax) application along with recommended dose of NPK and B enriched composted coirpith had significant influence on boron uptake by tomato at all the critical stages.

Among the various treatments, the highest B uptake by fruit ( $1124.12 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) and stover ( $935.15 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ) in tomato was recorded with the application of recommended dose of NPK + borohumate enriched CCP (BHECCP) @  $6.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  through soil and foliar spray of borohumate @ 0.5 per cent twice ( $T_{12}$ ). This was followed by application of RDF + BECCP @  $6.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  through soil + borax @ 0.5% through foliar spray ( $T_{11}$ ). This was followed by the treatments, which received both the B sources (Borohumate and Borax) with single mode of fertilization either soil or foliar applied treatments. The treatment  $T_{10}$  - RDF + BHECCP @  $6.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_9$  - RDF + BECCP @  $6.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  through soil application alone and  $T_8$  - RDF + CCP @  $12.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  along with borohumate (BH) @  $15 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  (SA) + borohumate @ 0.5% (FA) recorded a lowest B uptake of tomato as compared to above said treatment (boron nutrition both soil and foliar along with B enriched organics).

This was followed by application of RDF + CCP along with soil application of borax @  $10 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  + borax @ 0.5 per cent foliar spray ( $T_5$ ). However, this was found to be on par with treatment ( $T_6$ ) which received RDF + CCP along with borohumate (BH) @  $15 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  through soil and recorded a comparable B uptake of  $838.96$  and  $826.50 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  by fruit and  $693.45$  and  $681.01 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  by stover, respectively. This was followed by the treatments

significantly arranged in the descending order as  $T_3 > T_7 > T_4 > T_2$ . These treatments were also statistically significant.

Application of 100 per cent NPK alone ( $T_1$ ) recorded a comparatively lower B uptake of  $679.27 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  by fruit and  $537.03 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  by stover as compared to application of RDF along with CCP ( $T_2$ ) which recorded a B uptake of  $708.74$  and  $560.60 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$  by fruit and stover in tomato, respectively.

The increased B uptake might be due to the addition of micronutrients as impurities along with the fertilizers. Further, the application of borohumate, might have increased the availability through enhanced mineralization and chelation action, which increased the absorption and utilization of these nutrients. The report of Karaman *et al.* (2013), Ekinci *et al.* (2015) and Kamaleswaran (2023) supported the present findings.

## CONCLUSION

The present investigation clearly concluded that the beneficial role of boron enriched organics along with boron fertilization for increasing tomato production in coastal saline soil. Application of recommended dose of NPK + borohumate enriched composted coirpith @  $6.25 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  (BHECCP) along with borohumate @ 0.5 per cent twice at critical stages like pre flowering stage (PFS) and flowering stage (FS) through foliar spray was identified as the best treatment combination and to be recommended to the vegetables growers of coastal farmers to realize the maximum boron use efficiency (BUE), yield, nutrient uptake and sustain soil health in coastal saline soil.

## REFERENCES

- Asri, F.O., E.I. Demirtas and N. Ari. 2015. Changes in fruit yield, quality and nutrient concentrations in response to soil humic acid applications in processing tomato. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 21: 585–591.
- Balamurugan, N. 2006. Effect of humic acid, NPK and organic on the performance of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *M.Sc. Ag. Thesis*, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
- Daniel, F., J. Szenderak and M.H. Rakos. 2021. Economic effects of climate change on global agricultural production. *Nat. Cons.*, 44: 117-139.
- Ekinci, M., A. Esringu, A. Dursun, E. Yildirim M. Turan, M.R. Karaman and T. Arjumend. 2015. Growth, yield, and calcium and boron uptake of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as affected by calcium and boron humate application in greenhouse conditions. *Turk J. Agric. Forestry* 39(5): 613-632.
- Farzaneh, N., A. Gholchin and K. Hashemi Majd. 2010. The effect of nitrogen and boron on growth, yield and concentration of some nutrient elements of tomato. *J. Soil. Plant Interact.*, 1(2): 19-29.
- Firoz, Z.A. 2009. Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L). *Ban. J. Agrl. Res.*, 34(4): 713-722.
- GOI. 2020. Coastal Profile in Tamilnadu. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.
- Islam, M.M., N.M. Majid, A.J.M.S. Karim, M. Jahiruddin, M.S. Islam and M.A. Hakim, 2011. Integrated nutrient management for tomato-okra-stem amaranthus cropping pattern in homestead area. *J. Food Agric. Envmt.*, 9(2): 438-445.

- Kalaiselvi, S. 2013. Response of tomato to boron fertilization. *M.Sc., Thesis*, Department of Soil Science and Agril. Chem., Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
- Kamaleshwaran, R. 2023. Effect of boron and silicon nutrition on the soil fertility and productivity of groundnut in coastal saline soil. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu.
- Karaman, M.R., M. Turan, N. Gebologlu, A.T.M. Dizman and S. Şahin. 2013. Evaluation of boron-humate composites as a potential organic boron fertilizer. *Soil-Water J.*, 2(1): 663-670.
- Kumar, D. and S. Sharma. 2020. Effect of soil applied sulfur and boron on yield and uptake of boron in soybean. *Annal. Agri Biores.*, 15(1): 57-62.
- Kumar, K., G. Nazir, A. Singh and P. Kumar. 2016. Studies on boron fractions with different physico-chemical properties of cultivated soils of himachal Pradesh, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Ci.*, 6(6): 1547-1555.
- Kumar, P., S. Soni, S. Kumar, R.K. Singh and R. Kumar. 2022. Effect of soil application of NPK on yield traits and economics of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. Kashi Hans under Bundelkhand region. *Pharm. Innov. J.*, 11(6): 4-8.
- Li, S., L. Yan, M. Venuste, F. Xu, L. Shi, P.J. White and G. Ding. 2023. A critical review of plant adaptation to environmental boron stress: Uptake, utilization, and interplay with other abiotic and biotic factors. *Chemosphere*, 4: 139474.
- Mandal, S., R. Raju, A. Kumar, P. Kumar and P.C. Sharma. 2018. Current status of research, technology response and policy needs of salt-affected soils in India – A Review. *J. Indian Soc. Coastal Agric. Res.*, 36(2): 40-53.
- Noor, S., N.C. Shil, M.M. Uddin and M.K. Alam. 2011. Integrated nutrient management for tomato-okra Indian Spinach cropping pattern. *Ban. J. Agril. Res.*, 36: 595-603.
- Patel, M.S. and B.A. Golakiya. 1986. Effect of calcium carbonate and boron application on yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 34: 815-820.
- Punithraj, T.S., M.S. Nagaraja, P. Dhumgond, S. Reddy and K.M. Shivakumar. 2012. Effect of foliar application of secondary and micronutrients on yield and quality of tomato. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, 7(2): 194-199.
- Ray, R., S.K. Patra, K.K. Ghosh and S.K. Sahoo. 2005. Integrated nutrient management in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) in a river basin. *Indian J. Hort.*, 62: 260-264.
- Sabareshwari, V. and A. Ramya. 2018. Coastal saline soils of India: A review. *Agril. Rev.*, 39(1): 86-88.
- Sangeetha, M. and P. Singaram. 2005. Effect of fertilizers and lignite humic acid on quality parameters of onion var. Co 4. *J. Agric. Res. Manag.*, 4: 218-219
- Sanower, K. and Hossain. 2019. Present scenario of global salt affected soils, its management and importance of salinity research. *Int. Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 1: 1-3.
- Sarangthem, I., A. Haribushan and J. Salam. 2015. Effect of boron and vermicopost on nutrient and uptake of tomato in acid soils. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 49 (1): 13-23.
- Sarkar, D., B. Mandal and M.C. Kundu. 2007. Increasing use efficiency of boron fertilizers by rescheduling the time and methods of application for crops in India. *Plant Soil*, 301: 77-85.
- Sathiyamurthi, S., K. Dhanasekaran, D. Elayaraja, R. Gobi, M. Sivasakthi and M. Ramya. 2022. Effect of micronutrient humate and organic manure on growth, yield and quality parameters of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) under saline sodic condition. *Int. J. Bot. Stud.*, 7(2): 550-555.

- Shailesh, N. 2017. Coastal zone management in India – present status and future needs. *Geo-spatial Infor. Sci.*, 20(2): 174-183.
- Singaravel, R., V. Prasath and D. Elayaraja. 2006. Effect of organics and micronutrients on the growth, yield of groundnut in coastal soil. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2(2): 401-402.
- Singh, K.K., K. Singh and R. Singh. 2005. Effect of nitrogen and boron levels on growth, yield attributes, and yield of groundnut in *Alfisols*. *IRRN*. 30(1): 40-41.
- Swaidar, J.M., G.W. Ware and J.P. McCollum. 1994. Producing Vegetable Crops. International Book Distributing Co., Chaman Studio Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Charbag, Lukhnow (India), pp. 133-149.
- Tariq, M., B. Ahmad, M. Adnan, I.A. Mian, S. Khan, S. Fahad, M.H. Saleem, M. Ali, M. Mussarat, M. Ahmad, M. Romman, M.S. Chattha, M.A. El-Sheikh and S. Ali. 2022. Improving boron use efficiency via different application techniques for optimum production of good quality potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in alkaline soil. *Adv. Plant Anim. Boron Nutr.*, 17(1): 137-142.
- Venkatakrishnan, D., K. Dhanasekaran, S. Thirumavalavan, R. Bhuvaneshwari, P.K. Karthikeyan and B. Karthikeyan. 2021. Influence of sources and levels of boron on its availability tomato yield and fertility status of post harvest soil. *Res. J. Agrl. Sci.*, 12: 777-780.
- Venkatakrishnan, D., S. Thirumavalavan and K. Dhanasekaran. 2019. Effect of soil application of different boron fertilizers on the performance of tomato. *Int. J. Adv. Inno. Res.*, 6(2): 4-8
- Vetriselvan, R. 2011. Effect of NPK and humic acid formulations on the performance of bhendi. *M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis*, Dept. of Soil Science and Agrl. Chem., Faculty of Agriculture, AnnamalaiUniversity, Annamalainagar.
- Yadav, B., A.K. Soni, A.R. Meena, M. Jakhar and S. Meena. 2023. Impact of foliar boron and fertilizer management systems on growth, yield and quality of brinjal. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Chang.*, 13(9): 1369-1375.
- Yadav, S.K., J.C. Rana, K. Pradeep, S.K. Yadav, Z. Hussain and S.K. Mishra. 2007. Effect of NPK, FYM and climatic zones on seed yield and quality in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Int. J. Trop. Agric.*, 25(4): 867-869.

**Table 1. Effect of boron fertilization and boron enriched composted coirpith on the growth characters of tomato**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>			Dry matter production (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	FS	PFS	HS	FS	PFS	HS	FS	PFS	HS
T <sub>1</sub>	24.78	47.29	58.96	3.05	5.54	6.74	2.03	1.65	6.55
T <sub>2</sub>	28.30	53.14	66.41	4.09	7.11	8.46	3.06	2.78	7.78
T <sub>3</sub>	39.02	71.61	87.23	6.83	11.92	12.77	5.58	5.52	11.54
T <sub>4</sub>	31.36	59.38	73.25	5.03	8.48	9.82	3.69	3.60	9.21
T <sub>5</sub>	44.47	79.69	97.25	7.44	13.31	14.09	6.32	6.46	12.98
T <sub>6</sub>	43.72	77.35	94.37	7.38	13.26	14.04	6.24	6.35	12.86
T <sub>7</sub>	34.91	65.27	80.29	5.86	10.30	11.33	4.53	4.54	10.29
T <sub>8</sub>	48.27	85.46	104.29	7.98	14.68	15.29	6.89	7.48	14.15
T <sub>9</sub>	51.18	91.68	111.37	8.53	15.85	17.21	8.09	8.99	15.52
T <sub>10</sub>	54.15	97.34	118.11	9.11	17.39	18.58	8.58	10.32	16.85
T <sub>11</sub>	57.27	103.44	125.31	9.66	18.78	19.87	9.56	12.79	18.24
T <sub>12</sub>	60.25	109.18	132.19	10.19	19.99	21.12	10.15	14.75	19.34
SE <sub>D</sub>	1.38	2.69	3.22	0.25	0.53	0.57	0.26	0.36	0.48
CD (p=0.05)	2.88	5.61	6.71	0.52	1.10	1.20	0.56	0.75	1.03

**Table 2. Effect of boron fertilization and boron enriched composted coirpith on the yield of tomato**

Treatments	Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Percent increase over control (%)	
	Fruit	Stover	Fruit	Stover
T <sub>1</sub>	21.68	14.18	—	—
T <sub>2</sub>	22.41	14.77	3.36	4.16
T <sub>3</sub>	24.63	16.29	13.60	14.88
T <sub>4</sub>	23.11	15.31	6.59	7.96
T <sub>5</sub>	25.44	16.79	17.34	18.40
T <sub>6</sub>	25.32	16.71	16.78	17.84
T <sub>7</sub>	23.88	15.77	10.14	11.21
T <sub>8</sub>	26.18	17.22	20.75	21.43
T <sub>9</sub>	26.91	17.71	24.12	24.89
T <sub>10</sub>	27.63	18.38	27.44	29.61
T <sub>11</sub>	28.38	18.91	30.90	33.35
T <sub>12</sub>	29.15	19.38	34.45	36.67
SE <sub>D</sub>	0.32	0.18	—	—
CD (p=0.05)	0.68	0.38	—	—

**Table 3. Effect of boron fertilization and boron enriched composted coirpith on the boron use efficiency parameters**

Treatments	Agronomic efficiency (tonnes kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Agro physiological efficiency (tonnes kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Physiological efficiency (tonnes kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Apparent Nutrient recovery (%)	Boron use efficiency (PE × ARE)
T <sub>1</sub>	-	-	-	-	-
T <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	-	-
T <sub>3</sub>	1.74	13.88	14.05	12.35	173.53
T <sub>4</sub>	0.36	12.13	12.55	2.50	35.75
T <sub>5</sub>	0.66	11.65	12.13	5.44	65.96
T <sub>6</sub>	2.51	14.02	14.30	20.01	251.03
T <sub>7</sub>	0.55	13.36	13.75	4.03	55.00
T <sub>8</sub>	0.83	10.19	9.44	7.71	82.57
T <sub>9</sub>	3.08	9.03	10.06	30.59	307.65
T <sub>10</sub>	4.10	20.45	10.71	43.45	410.34
T <sub>11</sub>	1.18	8.78	9.18	12.81	117.54
T <sub>12</sub>	1.37	8.49	8.86	15.41	136.51

**Table 4. Effect of boron fertilization and boron enriched composted coirpith on the major nutrients uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by tomato**

Treatments	Nitrogen				Phosphorus				Potassium			
	FS	PFS	HS		FS	PFS	HS		FS	PFS	HS	
			Fruit	Stover			Fruit	Stover			Fruit	Stover
T <sub>1</sub>	8.06	11.47	23.16	40.66	3.55	6.04	7.80	11.66	13.31	36.48	35.65	63.03
T <sub>2</sub>	9.53	13.02	26.70	46.32	4.83	7.52	9.32	13.61	15.17	40.95	40.37	69.95
T <sub>3</sub>	14.16	17.90	36.23	62.09	8.38	11.77	14.39	18.94	20.83	55.33	53.66	87.78
T <sub>4</sub>	11.05	14.49	30.37	51.29	5.81	8.87	10.86	15.30	17.02	45.78	44.86	77.06
T <sub>5</sub>	15.70	19.36	40.39	69.34	9.18	13.21	16.81	21.67	23.24	62.53	59.49	96.95
T <sub>6</sub>	15.61	19.28	39.37	67.33	9.09	12.99	15.96	20.47	22.68	60.18	58.05	94.45
T <sub>7</sub>	12.78	16.25	33.28	57.11	7.16	10.42	12.71	17.25	18.98	50.47	49.14	83.93
T <sub>8</sub>	16.82	20.76	43.23	74.18	9.87	14.16	18.05	23.21	24.88	66.82	63.64	103.52
T <sub>9</sub>	18.05	22.17	46.01	79.04	10.61	15.11	19.40	24.87	26.50	71.06	67.75	110.12
T <sub>10</sub>	19.26	23.56	48.83	83.71	11.35	16.08	20.61	26.39	28.20	75.31	71.78	116.66
T <sub>11</sub>	20.43	24.96	51.63	88.66	12.05	17.06	21.89	27.91	29.88	79.62	75.85	123.32
T <sub>12</sub>	21.58	26.34	54.38	93.48	12.73	17.99	23.12	29.49	31.54	83.85	79.97	129.86
SE <sub>D</sub>	0.52	0.64	1.32	2.25	0.31	0.43	0.57	0.72	0.77	2.02	1.92	3.12
CD (p=0.05)	1.10	1.35	2.76	4.73	0.66	0.91	1.20	1.51	1.61	4.21	4.00	6.51




Fig. 1. Effect of boron fertilization and boron enriched composted coirpith on the boron uptake ( $\text{g ha}^{-1}$ ) by tomato