

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116266
Title of the Manuscript:	Current knowledge of enhancing power of Salicylic acid for biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in field crops
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, it is. But better if an amendment is made on almost all parts of the paper. To specify, the abstract, introduction and literature review part of the paper needs modification and is mandatory to amend based on the comments indicated on the main manuscript on each part.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is not. It will be better if it is rewritten by taking the comments given on the manuscript. If not, the title is not inclusive of the information indicated on the men body.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	It is not comprehensives. It has to be improved in a way that includes the comments given on the manuscript and encompass all the necessary information of the manuscript if someone wants to understand the overall content of the paper by reading the abstract.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Starting from the introduction all of the subsections of this manuscript need improvements. For example, the introduction needs revision. The objectives of the paper also need improvement. The literature review part of the manuscript is the other part that needs revision starting from selecting main chapter and inclusive sub sections as suggested on the paper.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, it is.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They are sufficient but not recent.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I have read the manuscript and forward my suggestions rationally and scientifically on each and every part. So, I would like to embolden my final comment, the paper should be revised and rewritten by incorporating all the comments indicated on each part for the betterment of the manuscript and to increase its acceptance for international authors	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	With minor issues, it is suitable	
Optional/General comments	The papers idea is necessary but it is not well written. The comments written on each part of the paper starting from the title to the final page should be incorporated before publication is made.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endalkachew Baye
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia