

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115612
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Plant Growth Regulators on Economics of Garlic ( <i>Allium sativum</i> L.) under Terai Zone of West Bengal
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The article lacks critical analyses to be considered for publication.</p> <p>The title does not reflect the aims and objectives of the research – even the aim of the study is not identified.</p> <p>Very poorly structured.</p> <p>Appropriately set</p> <p>Not in the form – needs a comprehensive review of the manuscript. The author (s) should read more articles to have an idea of how to present research findings and how to critically analyse them.</p> <p>Reference is not up to scientific standards – citations do not follow a style and are inconsistent throughout the manuscript. Reference needs reviewing – cannot be anonymous and yet state the name of an institute.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Needs reviewing – laced with grammatical errors – few identified in the comments section of the manuscript – too many.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The entire manuscript needs overhauling for consideration.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sirpaul Jaikishun</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Guyana, Guyana</b>