

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_115319</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Implication of Changing Climate on Tourism Development in Southern Tanzania</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>This paper is important to the scientific community because it addresses the significant impact of climate change on tourism development in the Southern Circuit of Tanzania.</p> <p>The title of the article adequately reflects the content and focus of the study</p> <p>The abstract provides a comprehensive description of the study, including aims, methodology, major findings, and recommendations</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, facilitating the organization and presentation of research findings. However, it is helpful to ensure consistency in formatting and naming throughout the manuscript to improve readability</p> <p>This manuscript appears to be scientific, with a strong methodology and analytical approach. The integration of qualitative and quantitative data strengthens the credibility of the findings. However, further clarification or elaboration of some methodological aspects may be useful, such as the specific criteria used for data collection and analysis.</p> <p>The references provided appear relevant and contribute to the scientific foundation of the study</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article seems quite suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. In purposive sampling method, are there specific criteria for respondents? Such as the age of respondents being selected?</p> <p>2. In this part of the sentence "The study categorized data into themes like tourism development's impact on climate change, analyzed it into related categories, established connections between themes, and used corroborative evidence to substantiate interpretations and increase the validity of arguments" is written twice with for further explanation, you should pay attention again for other explanations</p> <p>3. In the Materials and Methods section, the secondary data used are explained first, such as what type of data and primary data for what purpose</p> <p>4. It is advisable to include suggestions for future research at the end of the conclusion.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Artamevia Salsabila Rizaldi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Telkom University, Indonesia</b>