

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_112173</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Significance of protected structures on growth and yield of gherkins (<i>Cucumis anguria</i> L.) as influenced by magnetized water in semi-arid region</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Full Paper (Manuscript)</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript has an impact to agriculture esp on gherkin cultivation. Its importance/relevance was not clearly stated on the manuscript.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes. The title is clear and easy to understand.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive but it just shows the data and the conclusion. A short introduction (one sentence-optional), main objective, and the treatment/setup were not mentioned. Also, better sentence construction and proper format (font size) should be observed as well.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Structure and subsections are well-written.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct and the procedure up to the data and analysis were clearly exposed.</b></p> <p>6. <b>References are well used. Please see the comments/suggestions on the manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Some grammatical and coherency issues were observed. Kindly proofread the paper.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Please read the comments attached on the manuscript and follow the necessary corrections. Thank you.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gicel Christine Paclibar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tyler High School, Lyceum University of the Philippines, Philippines</b>