

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_115675
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the global reactivity and characterization of the preferential sites of reactivity of eight (08) derivatives of Tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) at the theory level B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes it is useful for scientific community. This research work gives the global and characterization of Tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) by using computational tools using software. It gives information regarding potential sites of the 8 molecules.</p> <p>Title is too complex need to be changed. Proposed title by me "Investigating Global Reactivity Profiles and Key Reactive Sites of Eight TCNQ Derivatives: A Computational Study Using B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) Method" Abstract need to be rewrite, language grammar is not appropriate</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but abstract is not appropriate</p> <p>Outdated references , need to include latest references , Vancouver style need to be followed</p> <p>Conclusion need to rewrite</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pramod N
Department, University & Country	Shridevi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India