

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_115775
Title of the Manuscript:	Real world experience of the first cardio-oncology unit implantation in Morocco
Type of the Article	Based on the provided title "Real world experience of the first cardio-oncology unit implantation in Morocco," this manuscript appears to be a descriptive observational study. It likely falls under the category of an Original Research Article, specifically within the realm of clinical research.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Overall, the manuscript provides a comprehensive exploration of cardiotoxicity associated with various chemotherapy regimens, focusing on anthracyclines, Trastuzumab, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), and anti-VEGF therapy.</p> <p>2. The title should succinctly capture the essence of the study. Consider revising it to provide a clearer indication of the focus and findings of the research.</p> <p>3. The abstract effectively summarizes the key findings of the study. However, it could be further refined to provide a more concise overview of the research objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>4. The manuscript would benefit from improved organization and clarity. Currently, it appears repetitive in some sections, such as the repeated mention of guidelines and risk stratification. Consider streamlining the content to enhance readability and coherence.</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. The reference list appears comprehensive and relevant to the study topic. Ensure that all citations are accurately formatted according to the journal's citation style guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chetan Kumar
Department, University & Country	Lalit Narayan Mithila University, India