

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_115824 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TRADITIONAL MUSIC PERFORMANCE AMONG PEOPLE OF ALAVANYO IN GHANA: WOMEN IN FOCUS |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The manuscript is important as it generates knowledge about the community in question.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable. It could also have been written as; Gender Stereotype in Musical Performances among the Alavanyo people of Ghana</p> <p>3. The abstract should be expanded to also include a brief background of the study and the research design.</p> <p>4. There are some missing crucial subsections. The study should have had 'statement of the problem' and 'research question' subsections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct however the author needs to read it again and insert the missing parts and correct the many typos.</p> <p>6. Some of the reference materials are recent however, there is need for more. Music performances are such a rich area of study and there are lots of recent materials on the same.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language used in this work isn't bad for scholarly communication. The Author should however read it again, correct the minor spelling and tense errors.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name: | Billy Odhiambo Ochieng |
| Department, University & Country | Rongo University, Kenya |