

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_115827
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency Analysis of Urban Tourism Development in Anhui Province Based on DEA Model
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the concept of developing urban tourism as studied in this article is a valid issue and is widely circulating in the world today No, the study results indicate the direction of the results and implications are more towards potential/feasibility studies No, the abstract structure is still not good. Abstracts should be created in the format Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions sequentially. The abstract in this article is still not in the same order as above. Yes, the order of the sections of the article is valid. The contents also reflect the titles of the sections and subsections Yes, the explanation of the method and literature support is valid and good in terms of scientific writing. No. In the literature review section, the latest literature has been explained, but there has been no specific discussion regarding urban tourism, especially regarding concrete and ideal forms of urban tourism development as study parameters. In addition, the introduction section is also not supported by relevant academic paradigms. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, overall the use of grammar (vocabulary, phrases and grammar) meets the standards. However, the use of some symbols (especially numbering) in languages other than English needs to be corrected 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, this article has presented a fairly comprehensive study regarding the development of urban tourism in Anhui Province, China. However, there are several things that fundamentally need to be improved, namely the literature review which must discuss urban tourism as the scope and parameters of the study, paradigms and academic issues that are relevant and supportive in the introductory section, as well as practical and academic implications in the final section. The formulation of the title also does not capture the results of the study where the direction of the study results is more towards potential/feasibility studies. Fundamental improvements to these things can improve the quality of the article and make it worthy of publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fajar Aditya Nugroho
Department, University & Country	Jakarta State University, Indonesia