

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_115892
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF DIRECT ATTENTION TRAINING ON AGGRESSIVENESS OF HEALTHCARE WORKERS IN KOGI STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a problem regarding the aggressive behaviour of healthcare professionals in Kogi State, Nigeria. It delves into how targeted training can help mitigate the aggressiveness of healthcare workers. Given the relevance of this topic in healthcare environment globally, the findings of this study can be a valuable contribution to the scientific community particularly in the field of health psychology and occupational health.</p> <p>2. The title effectively conveys the focus of the research. However, it could be enhanced by adding the details of specific outcomes measured for e.g. "Effect of Direct Attention Training on Aggressiveness among Healthcare Workers in Kogi State: A Quasi-Experimental Study" or the simply the word "impact" can be replaced by "effect" in the title.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a concise overview of the study including its objectives, methodology, key findings and implications. However, it could be further enhanced by detailing more on the strategies employed during the training and the primary results on how these strategies help decrease aggressiveness.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript seems appropriate with clear outline of sections such like Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion of Findings, Conclusion and Recommendations.</p> <p>5. Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically sound. However, there could be some parts that require explanation or more information to maintain precision and accuracy. For instance, details on randomization procedures etc</p> <p>6. The references cited in the manuscript appear relevant to the topic and cover a range of sources, including peer-reviewed journals and books. However, the latest reference is from 2020. Hence, some more recent references can be used.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript requires detailed proof reading and rephrasing of sentences to sound more scholarly. There are numerous grammatical errors, awkward phrasings and typographical errors throughout the text that need to be addressed specifically the methodology part.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarah Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Dhofar University, Oman