

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_115443
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Strategies for Managing Leaf Spot Disease in Castor Plants for Ericulutre: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>(1) manuscript important for scientific community This manuscript provides valuable insights into sustainable disease management of fungal disease; Cercospora leaf spot in castor plants. The manuscript explores the effectiveness of integrated disease management strategies, botanical extracts, and <i>Trichoderma viride</i>, providing important contributions to the scientific community. The focus on environmentally friendly alternatives to chemical pesticides and the potential to increase crop yield while reducing environmental impact make this topic relevant for researchers, agricultural practitioners, and policymakers who are committed to sustainable agriculture practices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction: the primary objective of this review is not explicitly stated "specific aspects of leaf spot disease management that will be addressed" Critical evaluation (comprehensive overview) "effectiveness" of different management strategies mentioned in the introduction for (1) rigor in reviewing existing literature by providing relevant research findings to support the study's rationale and significance. Ensuring that the author's study's contribution is articulated and justified through a proper review of the existing literature. Evidence Strengthening: The introduction contains valuable information about the impact of diseases on castor cultivation. However, it could benefit from evidence (specific statistical data regarding silkworm population growth on castor plants/cator-silkworm economic benefit-) to support its claims. Including references to relevant studies, data, or statistics could strengthen the arguments made. <p>(2) The title of the article is suitable. (3) The abstract of the article is comprehensive (4) Structure approach concern: there is a sudden transition from discussing the characteristics and management of Cercospora leaf spot disease to mentioning the limitations of chemical pesticides and the use of biological management. The text's coherence is disrupted by abrupt shifts between topics without clear connections or transitional phrases, making it challenging for readers to follow the logical progression of ideas.</p> <p>(5) The manuscript is scientifically correct. (6) The references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Structure approach (minor): The text lacks smooth transitions between ideas: in the introduction: there abruptly shifts from describing the impact of diseases on castor leaf production to detailing specific fungal pathogens affecting castor plants. In addition,</p> <p>in-between the sections: Provide a clear transition or introductory sentence before introducing each new section or subsection to guide the reader through the flow of information.</p> <p>Formatting and layout of the text: Ensure consistency in spacing, and punctuation marks (commas and periods), for the review presentation. be careful to interspace : (.) in-between sentence and coordination Ex. as "green mold disease."In a study on the efficacy Orthograph: sericulture – not - ericulture</p> <p>Take care of the Grammar: Ex. The filament length of 769.05m, filament weight of 0.16g, and</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>denier of 1.80% fineness were found to be superior when silkworms were fed mulberry leaves treated with <i>T. harzianum</i>.</p> <p>Organization of Information: Ensure that information is logically organized within each section. For example, under 'Sustainable Disease Management Approaches,' group together discussions on integrated disease management (IDM) or (IPDM) strategies, chemical control methods, biological control methods, and resistance breeding to provide a comprehensive overview of the different approaches. Note: Chemical pesticides, while often effective, are increasingly recognized as a last resort in IPDM due to several “concerns “.... Yet they are still a part of IPDM therefore make an introduction regarding this</p> <p>Conclusion? Consider adding a concluding paragraph to highlight key findings and stress the importance of sustainable disease management strategies for conservative agriculture and the environment (make a frame on castor ecosystem).</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mokhtari Wafaa
Department, University & Country	Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan II, Morocco