

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_114827</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An Exploratory Analysis of the Microbial and Sensory Attributes Influencing the Stability and Quality of Instant Soup Mix Incorporated with Malabar Spinach Leaves Powder under Refrigerated Conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript basically achieved the requirements of a scientific journal but needs to correct and supplement some data to make it more scientific and practical application</p> <p>2. Article title: <b>Evaluating the quality of soup powder when adding Malabar Spinach Leaves Powder during storage under Refrigerated Conditions</b> Reason: evaluate food quality includes evaluate sensory and some types of microorganisms.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Should be revised and supplemented more information.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Should update some new references in recently 5 years (because there are only 3 newest documents out of a total of 17 documents)</p> <p>Details of the information is commented in the article</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nguyen Thi Tam Thu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>New Technology Institute, Vietnam</b>